# <u>INDEX</u>

		DIRECT/ RE-DIRECT	CROSS/ RE-CROSS	EXAM. BY BOARD/STAFF
1.	W. R. Morrow	29-33		
2.	Emmett Sanford	35-37		
3.	Ernest G. Kavanaugh	40-43		

- 13-99 11-13-99 MR G 0

## **EXHIBITS**

EXHIBIT NO. (ITEM NO.)	TITLE (TESTIMONY OF)	OFFERED	RECEIVED
Board Exhibit	Order appointing M. Rogers as Hearing Officer	25	25
Exhibit 1 (Item 1)	Unit plat, Hightogy Oil Field, Lamar County (W. E. Morrow)	32	33
Exhibit 2 (Item 1)	Structure map, base of Millerella sand, Hightogy Field (W. E. Morrow)	32	33
Exhibit 3 (Item 1)	Isopach map, Carter sand, Hightogy Oil Field (W. E. Morrow)	32	33
Exhibit 4 (Item 1)	Type log, G. C. Curry Estate 10-8 No. 1, Hightogy Oil Field (W. E. Morrow)	32	33
Exhibit 5 (Item 1)	OGB-9, G. C. Curry Estate 10-8 No. 1, Hightogy Oil Field (W. E. Morrow)	32	33
Exhibit 6 (Item 1)	Affidavit of notice (John Foster Tyra)	28	28
Exhibit 1 (Item 15)	OGB-9, Coprich 19-8 No. 1, Newtonville Field (Emmett Sanford)	37	37

## **EXHIBITS**

EXHIBIT NO. (ITEM NO.)	TITLE (TESTIMONY OF)	OFFERED	RECEIVED
Exhibit 2 (Item 15)	Type log, Coprich 19-8 No. 1, Newtonville Field (Emmett Sanford)	37	37
Exhibit 3 (Item 15)	Isopach map, Millerella sand, Newtonville Field (Emmett Sanford)	37	37
Exhibit 4 (Item 15)	Structure map, top of Millerella sand, Newtonville Field (Emmett Sanford)	37	37
Exhibit 5 (Item 15)	Cross section A-A', Millerella sand, Newtonville Field (Emmett Sanford)	37	37
Exhibit 1 (Item 22)	Affidavit of testimony (David R. Fouts)	38	38
Exhibit 2 (Item 22)	Affidavit of notice (William T. Watson)	38	38
Exhibit 1 (Item 28)	Location plat, Christian Chapel Gas Field Lamar County (Ernest Kavanaugh)	43	43
Exhibit 2 (Item 28)	Structure map, base of Millerella sand, Christian Chapel Gas Field (Ernest Kavanaugh)	43	43

## **EXHIBITS**

EXHIBIT NO. (ITEM NO.)	TITLE (TESTIMONY OF)	OFFERED	RECEIVED
Exhibit 3 (Item 28)	Isolith map, Chandler sand, Christian Chapel Gas Field (Ernest Kavanaugh)	43	43
Exhibit 4 (Item 28)	Type log, Rufus M. King 11-15 No. 1, Christian Chapel Gas Field (Ernest Kavanaugh)	43	43
Exhibit 5 (Item 28)	OGB-9, Rufus M. King 11-15 No. 1, Christian Chapel Gas Field (Ernest Kavanaugh)	43	43
Exhibit 6 (Item 28)	Affidavit of notice (John Foster Tyra)	43	43
Exhibit (1-3) (Item 29)	Affidavit of testimony (Van F. Griffin)	44	45
Exhibit 1 (Item 29)	Reference map, Bluegut Creek Field (Van Griffin)	45	45
Exhibit 2 (Item 29)	Well location plat, Bedford 4-2 No. 1, Bluegut Creek Field (Van Griffin)	45	45
Exhibit 3 (Item 29)	Topographic map, Bluegut Creek Field area, (Van Griffin)	45	45
Exhibit 4 (Item 29)	Affidavit of notice (John Foster Tyra)	44	44

## STATE OIL AND GAS BOARD OF ALABAMA

Tuscaloosa, Alabama

September 30, 1998

Testimony and proceedings before a Hearing Officer in the Board Room of the State Oil and Gas Board Building, University of Alabama Campus, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, pursuant to adjournment, on this the 30th of September, 1998.

**BEFORE**:

Marvin Rogers.	Attorney
	Marvin Rogers.

### **BOARD STAFF**

Dr. Donald F. Oltz	
Mr. Jay Masingill	Assistant Supervisor
Mr. Gary Wilson	Assistant Supervisor
Dr. David Bolin	Assistant Supervisor
Mrs. Jan Pashin	Assistant Supervisor
Mr. Richard Hamilton	Engineer
Mr. Frank Hinkle	Geologist

	APP	EARANCES
	NAME	REPRESENTING
1.	Tom Watson Tuscaloosa, AL	
2.	Ernest G. Kavanaugh El Dorado, AR	Munoco Co., L.C.
3.	W.E. Morrow Jackson, MS	Morrow Operating C
4.	John Tyra Hamilton, AL	Munoco Co.
5.	Emmett Sanford Vernon, AL	Sanford Oil & Gas

(The hearing was convened at 10:05 a.m. on Wednesday, September 30, 1998, in Tuscaloosa, Alabama.)
MR. ROGERS: This hearing is in session. Dr. Oltz, have the items to be heard today
been properly noticed?
DR. OLTZ: The items to be heard today have been properly noticed. An agenda of
today's meeting has been transmitted to the recording secretary.
AGENDA
STATE OIL AND GAS BOARD MEETING
SEPTEMBER 30 & OCTOBER 2, 1998
The martine will begin at 10,00 am on Wednesday Sentember 30 and
The meeting will begin at 10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, September 30, and Friday, October 2, 1998, in the Board Room of the State Oil and Gas
Board Building, University of Alabama Campus, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, to
consider the following petitions:
consider the following perturbits.
1. DOCKET NO. 11-12-974A
Continued amended petition by MORROW OIL & GAS COMPANY, a
foreign corporation, authorized to do and doing business in the State of
Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama to enter an
order establishing a new oil field in Lamar County, Alabama, to name the
Hightogy Oil Field, or such other name as the Board deems proper, and to
adopt Special Field Rules therefor. The proposed field, as underlain by
the Carter Sand Oil Pool, consists of the Southeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 10, Township 16 South, Range 15 West,
Lamar County, Alabama. The Carter Sand Oil Pool should be defined as
that interval of the Carter sand productive of hydrocarbons between 4,506

Estate 10-8 No. 1 Well, Permit No. 11220, located on a 40-acre unit consisting of the Southeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 10, Township 16 South, Range 15 West, Lamar County, Alabama, and all zones in communication therewith and all productive extensions thereof. Petitioner is requesting well spacing of approximately 40 contiguous acres and the establishment of production allowables.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

1

2

3

4

5

#### 2. DOCKET NO. 1-28-983

Continued petition by LOWRY EXPLORATION, INC., a foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama to establish a new gas field in Marion County, Alabama, to be called the Northwest Aston Branch Field, or such other name as the Board deems appropriate, and to adopt Special Field Rules therefor. The proposed field limits consist of the Northwest Quarter of Section 30, Township 11 South, Range 15 West and the Northeast Quarter of Section 25, Township 11 South, Range 16 West, Marion County, Alabama. The said field limits are underlain by the Carter Sand Gas Pool, said Carter Sand Gas Pool being defined as that interval between 1,264 feet and 1,280 feet as indicated on the Spectral Density/Dual Spaced Neutron Log for the Leonhardt 30-4 No. 1 Well, Permit No. 11276, located in Marion County, Alabama, and all zones in communication therewith and all productive extensions thereof. Petitioner is requesting well spacing of 320 contiguous acres and the establishment of production allowables.

3. DOCKET NO. 4-8-9814

Continued petition by UNIT MANAGER, CITRONELLE UNIT, Citronelle Field, Mobile County, Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order authorizing the Unit Manager to convert the D-8-7 Well, Permit No. 994, to a fresh water injection well pursuant to Article 3.1.3(d) of the Unit Agreement for the Citronelle Unit and Rule 14 (1)(A) of the Special Field Rules. The D-8-7 Well is located 673.5 feet from the West line and 673.3 feet from the South line of the Southwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 8, Township 1 North, Range 2 West, in the Citronelle Unit, Citronelle Field, Mobile County, Alabama.

4. DOCKET NO. 5-13-9817

Continued petition by JN EXPLORATION & PRODUCTION LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a foreign limited partnership authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to make a determination pursuant to Section 40-20-1(22) and Section 40-20-

1	2(2), Code of Alabama (1975), that the Southeast Frisco City Unit, Monroe
2	County, Alabama, qualifies as a "Qualified Enhanced Recovery Project" as
3	defined in said statutes and to make a determination of the projected annual
4	oil or gas production that could have otherwise been produced without the
5	benefit of the initiation of said Qualified Enhanced Recovery Project.
6	
7	5. DOCKET NO. 7-13-989A
8	Continued amended petition by TAURUS EXPLORATION, INC., an
9	Alabama corporation, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board, pursuant to
10	Section 9-17-1, et seq. Code of Alabama, (1975), and Rule 400-1-306 of the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code, to enter an
11	order extending the temporarily abandoned status for certain coal
12 13	degasification wells in the Cedar Cove, Moundville and Big Sandy Creek
13	Coal Degasification Fields located in Hale, Tuscaloosa and Jefferson
14	Counties, Alabama, in the following areas:
16	
17	Sections 13 and 24, Township 23 North, Range 3 East
18	
19	Sections 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 28, 29
20	and 30, Township 23 North, Range 4 East
21	
22	Sections 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 20, Township
23	23 North, Range 5 East
24	
25	Section 31, Township 24 North, Range 5 East
26	and a state of the
27	Sections 2, 3, 4, 9, 10, 14, 15, 16, 22, 23 and 24, Township 24 North, Range
28	6 East
29	Sections 4.5.7.9 and 17. Township 24 North Pange 7 Fast
30 31	Sections 4, 5, 7, 8 and 17, Township 24 North, Range 7 East
31	The previously granted temporarily abandoned status expires on or about
32	October 2, 1998, and Taurus Exploration, Inc. is requesting this Board to
34	grant a six-month extension of the temporarily abandoned status beginning
35	October 2, 1998, because all of the wells in the aforementioned Sections
36	have future utility and should not be plugged.
37	
38	6. DOCKET NO. 7-13-9811
39	Continued petition by KWB OIL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, INC., a
40	foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of
41	Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama to enter an

1	order force pooling, without the imposition of a risk compensation penalty,
1 2	all tracts and interests in gas produced from a well to be drilled as a wildcat
3	well on a unit consisting of the West Half of Section 11, Township 17 South,
4	Range 14 West, Lamar County, Alabama. This Petition is in accordance
5	with Section 9-17-13, Code of Alabama, (1975), as amended and Rule 400-
6	1-1301 of the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code.
7	
8	7. DOCKET NO. 8-19-987A
9	Continued amended petition by TAURUS EXPLORATION, INC., an
10	Alabama corporation, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board, pursuant to
11	Section 9-17-1, et seq. Code of Alabama, (1975), and Rule 400-1-306 of
12	the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code, to enter an
13	order extending the temporarily abandoned status for certain coal
14	degasification wells in the Oak Grove Coal Degasification Field and the Brookwood Coal Degasification Field, Tuscaloosa and Jefferson Counties,
15	Alabama, in the following areas:
16 17	Alabalita, ili ule following areas.
17	Sections 21, 27, 30, 33 and 34, Township 18 South, Range 7 West;
19	
20	Sections 26, 35 and 36, Township 18 South, Range 8 West;
21	
22	Sections 9, 10, 13, 14, 15 and 16, Township 19 South, Range 7 West; and
23	
24	Sections 1, 2, 3, 9, 10, 15, 16, 20 and 22, Township 19 South, Range 8
25	West.
26	
27	The previously granted temporarily abandoned status expires on October
28	2, 1998, and Taurus Exploration, Inc. is requesting this Board to grant a
29 20	six-month extension of the temporarily abandoned status beginning October 2, 1998, because all of the wells in the aforementioned Sections
30 31	have future utility and should not be plugged.
32	have future unity and should not be plugged.
33	8. DOCKET NO. 8-19-9810
34	Continued petition by LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCE
35	DEVELOPMENT, INC., an Alabama corporation, requesting the Board to
36	extend the temporarily abandoned status for 228 wells, all of which are
37	located in the Moundville Field, Tuscaloosa and Hale Counties, Alabama.
38	Petitioner owns an interest in said wells and desires to renew their
39	temporarily abandoned status in order that said wells can be re-entered and
40	completed. Petitioner alleges that said wells have future utility. The wells
41	are located in the following sections:

1	Township 23 North, Range 3 East
2	
3	Sections: 13, 24
4 5	Township 23 North, Range 4 East
6	Township 25 North, Range + East
7	Sections: 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
8	17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24,
9	28, 29, 30
10	
11	Township 23 North, Range 5 East
12	
13	Sections: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 & 31
14	15, 10, 17, 18, 19, 20 & 51
15 16	
17	Township 24 North, Range 4 East
18	
19	Sections: 24 & 25
20	
21	Township 24 North, Range 5 East
22	
23	Sections: 19, 30 & 31
24 25	9. DOCKET NO. 8-19-9811
25 26	Continued petition by JN EXPLORATION & PRODUCTION LIMITED
20 27	PARTNERSHIP, a foreign limited partnership authorized to do and doing
28	business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board
29	(hereinafter "Board") to enter an order amending Rule 1 of the Special
30	Field Rules for the Frisco City Field, adopted by the Board in Order 87-
31	30, issued on February 28, 1987, and last amended by Order No. 98-44
32	issued on May 15, 1998, so as to add thereto lands described as: The
33	north half of the north half of the northwest quarter of the southeast
34	quarter and the north half of the northwest quarter of the northeast quarter
35	of the southeast quarter of Section 12, Township 5 North, Range 6 East,
36	all in Monroe County, Alabama. Said acreage to be added to the defined
37 38	limits of the Frisco City Field constitutes a productive extension of the said Frisco City Field and coincides with the proposed unit area of the
38 39	proposed Frisco City Oil Unit.
59	

This petition is a companion petition to those petitions bearing Docket No. 8-19-9812 requesting the designation of a new pool for said field and Docket No. 8-19-9813 requesting the establishment of a partial fieldwide unit for said field and seeks relief related to such petitions.

10. DOCKET NO. 8-19-9812

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

Continued petition by JN EXPLORATION & PRODUCTION LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a foreign limited partnership authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board (hereinafter "Board") to amend Rule 2 of the Special Field Rules for the Frisco City Field, adopted by Order 87-30 issued on February 28, 1987, as last amended by the Board in Order No. 98-44 issued on May 15, 1998, to amend the name of the Frisco City Sand Oil Pool as presently defined therein to the North Frisco City Sand Oil Pool of the Frisco City Field and to add and name a new pool to be defined as the South Frisco City Sand Oil Pool of the Frisco City Field, which shall be defined as that interval of the Haynesville Formation productive of hydrocarbons in the interval between 12,337 feet MD to 12, 373 feet MD on the Compensated Z-Densilog, Compensated Neutron, Gamma-ray log for the Brents Lee 12-7 No. 1 Well, Permit No. 10226-B, located 1,185 feet from the North line and 1,941 feet from the East line of Section 12, Township 5 North, Range 6 East, Monroe County, Alabama. The proposed South Frisco City Sand Oil Pool is a separate and distinct pool from the North Frisco City Sand Oil Pool.

This petition is a companion petition to those petitions bearing Docket No. 8-19-9811 requesting to add lands to the Frisco City Field and Docket No. 8-19-9813 requesting the establishment of a partial fieldwide unit for said field and seeks relief related to such petitions.

11. DOCKET NO. 8-19-9813

Continued petition by JN EXPLORATION & PRODUCTION LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a foreign limited partnership authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board (hereinafter "Board") to enter an order pursuant to Section 9-17-80, et seq. of the *Code of Alabama* (1975), as amended, approving and establishing a partial fieldwide unit for the South Frisco City Sand Oil Pool of the Frisco City Field, hereinafter more particularly defined and referred to herein as the Unitized Area, consisting of the following described tracts in Monroe County, Alabama, to-wit: The north half of Section 12; the north half of the north half of the northwest quarter of the southeast quarter of Section 12; the north half of the northwest quarter of the northeast quarter of the southeast quarter of Section 12, Township 5 North, Range 6 East, Monroe County, Alabama, and requiring the operation of said Unit Area as a partial fieldwide unit for pressure maintenance, enhanced recovery, development and production of oil, gas, gaseous substances, condensate, distillate and all associated and constituent liquid or liquefiable substances within or produced from the unitized interval in order to prevent waste, to maximize the recovery of the unitized substances, to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, and to protect the coequal and correlative rights of interested parties.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

The Unitized Formation is to be designated as the South Frisco City Sand Oil Pool in the Frisco City Field and shall be construed to mean those strata of the Haynesville Formation productive of hydrocarbons in the interval between 12,337 feet MD to 12, 373 feet MD on the Compensated Z-Densilog, Compensated Neutron, Gamma-ray log for the Brents Lee 12-7 No. 1 Well, Permit No. 10226-B, located 1,185 feet from the North line and 1,941 feet from the East line of Section 12, Township 5 North, Range 6 East, Monroe County, Alabama, including those strata which can be correlated therewith. Said pool and proposed unitized area constitutes a separate and distinct oil producing pool in said field, separate and distinct from all other pools in said field.

Petitioner further seeks entry of an order unitizing, pooling, and integrating the Unit Area as underlain by the above-described Unitized Formation, into a partial fieldwide unit so as to require all owners or claimants of royalty, overriding royalty, mineral, leasehold and all other leasehold interest within said partial fieldwide unit to unitize, pool, and integrate their interests and develop their lands or interests within said Unit Area as a partial fieldwide unit. Said petition further seeks to have JN Exploration & Production Limited Partnership designated as Unit Operator of the Unit Area in accordance with the laws of the State of Alabama and seeks an order from the Board approving the Unit Agreement and Unit Operating Agreement.

This petition is a companion petition to those petitions bearing Docket No. 8-19-9811 requesting to add lands to the Frisco City Field and Docket No. 8-19-9812 requesting the designation of a new pool for said field and seeks relief related to such petitions.

#### 12. DOCKET NO. 8-19-9814

Continued petition by SENECA RESOURCES CORPORATION, a foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order reforming a 160-acre wildcat drilling unit consisting of the East Half of the Southwest Quarter and the West Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 32, Township 4 North, Range 6 East, Monroe County, Alabama, to a 200-acre production unit for the Blacksher 32-10 No. 1 Well, Permit No.11368-B, in the proposed Little River Lake Field, invoking the 30% tolerance allowed by Section 9-17-12(b) of the Code of Alabama 1975 and as an exception to Rule 3 (a) of the proposed Special Field Rules for the Little River Lake Field, described as follows:

The South Half of the Southwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter; the South Half of the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; the West Half of the Southeast Quarter; and the East Half of the Southwest Quarter, all in Section 32, Township 4 North, Range 6 East, Monroe County, Alabama.

Section 9-17-12(b) authorizes the Board to grant units in excess of 160 acres when it is demonstrated that one well can efficiently and economically drain the proposed area and that such a larger unit is justified because of technical, economic, environmental or safety considerations, or other reasons deemed valid by the Board.

This petition is filed as a companion to petition bearing Docket No. 8-19-9815 requesting establishment of the Little River Lake Field and petition bearing Docket No. 8-19-9816 requesting forced pooling, without imposition of a risk compensation fee, of the above-described 200-acre production unit in the proposed Little River Lake Field.

13. DOCKET NO. 8-19-9815 Continued petition by SENECA RESOURCES CORPORATION, a foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order establishing a new oil field in Monroe County, Alabama, to be named the Little River Lake Field, or such other name as the Board deems proper, and to adopt Special Field Rules therefor. The proposed field, as underlain by the Smackover Oil Pool in the Smackover Formation, consists of the following described tracts: 

The South Half of the Southwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter; the South Half of the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; the West Half of the Southeast Quarter; and the East Half of the Southwest Quarter, all in Section 32, Township 4 North, Range 6 East, Monroe County, Alabama.

The Smackover Oil Pool should be defined as that interval of the Smackover Formation productive of hydrocarbons between the depths of 14,160 feet measured depth and 14,370 feet measured depth as indicated on the Array Induction Log for the Blacksher 32-10 No. 1 Well, Permit No. 11368-B, located in Section 32, Township 4 North, Range 6 East, Monroe County, Alabama. Petitioner is requesting that said discovery well or any subsequent replacement well be located on the above-described 200-acre production unit and Petitioner is also requesting the establishment of production allowables.

This petition is filed as a companion to petition bearing Docket No. 8-19-9814 requesting reformation of a 160-acre wildcat drilling unit to a 200-acre production unit in the proposed Little River Lake Field, and petition bearing Docket No. 8-19-9816 requesting forced pooling, without imposition of a risk compensation fee, of the proposed 200-acre production unit.

14. DOCKET NO. 8-19-9816

Continued petition by SENECA RESOURCES CORPORATION, a foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order force pooling, without the imposition of a risk compensation fee, all tracts and interests in a proposed reformed 200-acre production unit consisting of the South Half of the Southwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter; the South Half of the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; the West Half of the Southeast Quarter; and the East Half of the Southwest Quarter, all in Section 32, Township 4 North, Range 6 East, Monroe County, Alabama, in the proposed Little River Lake Field, pursuant to Section 9-17-13, *Code of Alabama* (1975), and Rule 400-1-13-.01 of the *State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code*.

This petition is filed as a companion to petition bearing Docket No. 8-19-9814 requesting approval of the reformation of a 160-acre wildcat drilling unit to the above-described 200-acre production unit in the proposed Little River Lake Field, and petition bearing Docket No. 8-19-9815 requesting establishment of the Little River Lake Field.

Petition by SANFORD RESOURCES CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to amend Rule 2 of the Special Field Rules for the Newtonville Field, Fayette County, Alabama, to add the Millerella Sand Gas Pool, to be construed to mean those strata of the Millerella Sand productive of hydrocarbons in the interval between 3,708 feet and 3,720 feet in the #1 Coprich 19-8 Well, Permit No. 3410, located in Section 19, Township 17 South, Range 12 West, Fayette County, Alabama, as indicated on the Neutron Density Log of said well, and including those strata productive of hydrocarbons which can be correlated therewith.

16. DOCKET NO. 9-30-982

Petition by LEGACY RESOURCES COMPANY, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, an Indiana limited partnership, authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order establishing a new offshore gas field, to be known as the Saxon Bay Field, Baldwin County, Alabama, or such other name as designated by the Board, and to establish Special Field Rules therefor. The proposed field, as underlain by the Meyer Sand Gas Pools, as hereinafter defined, of Miocene Age, consists of portions of Mobile Bay Area Tracts 64 and 78 described as follows:

Beginning at a point having Coordinates of X=359,955.78 and Y=107,200.00; thence South 7,000 feet to a point having Coordinates of X=359,955.78 and Y=100,200.00; thence West 7,270.52 feet to a point having Coordinates of X=352,685.26 and Y=100,200.00; thence South 7000 feet to a point having Coordinates of X=352,685.26 and Y=93,200.00; thence West 4,985.26 feet to a point having Coordinates of X=347,700.00 and Y=93,200.00; thence North 7,000 feet to a point having Coordinates of X=347,700.00 and Y=347,700.00 and Y=100,200.00; thence West 2,700 feet to a point having Coordinates of X=347,700.00 and Y=100,200.00; thence West 2,700 feet to a point having Coordinates of X=345,000.00 and Y=100,200.00; thence North 7,000 feet to a point having Coordinates of X=345,000.00 and Y=107,200.00; thence East 14,955.78 feet to the point of beginning, containing 3,204.48 acres, more or less, in Mobile Bay Area Tracts 64 and 78. All bearings, distances and coordinates are based on the Alabama Transverse Mercator Coordinate System of 1927, West Zone.

There are two separate distinct Meyer Sand Gas Pools in the proposed Saxon Bay Field. The North Meyer Sand Gas Pool should be defined as that interval of the Meyer Sand productive of hydrocarbons in the interval

1	between 1,450 feet measured depth to 1,570 feet measured depth, as
1 2	indicated on the High Resolution Induction Log run in the State Lease 615
3	No. 2 Well, Permit Np.11417-OS-72, located in Mobile Bay Area Tract
4	78, including those strata which can be correlated therewith and all
5	productive extensions thereof. The South Meyer Sand Gas Pool should be
6	defined as that interval of the Meyer Sand productive of hydrocarbons in
7	the interval between 1,510 feet measured depth to 1,588 feet measured
8	depth, as indicated on the High Resolution Induction Log run in the State
9	Lease 615 No. 3 Well, Permit No. 11418-OS-73, located in Mobile Bay
10	Area Tract 78, including those strata which can be correlated therewith
11	and all productive extensions thereof.
12	
13	Petitioner is requesting well spacing of 801.12 contiguous acres and the
14	establishment of allowables. This petition is filed pursuant to Section 9-
15	17-12(b) of the Code of Alabama (1975) and Rule 400-3-202 of the State
16	Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code.
17	
18	This petition is filed as a companion to petitions bearing Docket Nos. 9-
19	30-983, 9-30-984, 9-30-985 and 9-30-986 requesting the Board to reform
20	160-acre wildcat drilling units to 801.12-acre production units in the
21	proposed Saxon Bay Field.
22	17 DOCKET NO 0 20 092
23	17. DOCKET NO. 9-30-983 Petition by LEGACY RESOURCES COMPANY, LIMITED
24	Petition by LEGACY RESOURCES COMPANY, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, an Indiana limited partnership, authorized to do and
25	doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas
26 27	Board to enter an order reforming a 160-acre wildcat Miocene drilling unit
27	for Petitioner's State Lease 615 No. 1 Well, Permit No. 11416-OS-71,
20	consisting of portions of Mobile Bay Area Tracts 64 and 78 and described
30	as follows:
31	
32	Beginning at a point having Coordinates of X=354,970.52 and
33	Y=105,660.00; thence East 2,640.00 feet to a point having Coordinates of
34	X=357,610.52 and $Y=105,660.00$ ; thence South 2,640.00 feet to a point
35	having Coordinates of X=357,610.52 and Y=103,020.00; thence West
36	2,640.00 feet to a point having Coordinates of X=354,970.52 and
37	Y=103,020.00; thence North 2,640.00 feet to the point of beginning,
38	containing 160.00 acres in Mobile Bay Area Tracts 64 and 78,
39	
40	to a 801.12-acre production unit consisting of portions of Mobile Bay
41	Area Tracts 64 and 78, described as follows:

1	Beginning at a point having Coordinates of X=359,955.78 and
2	Y=107,200.00; thence South 7000 feet to a point having Coordinates of
3	X=359,955.78 and Y=100,200.00; thence West 4,985.26 feet to a point
4	having Coordinates of X=354,970.52 and Y=100,200.00; thence North
5	7,000 feet to a point having Coordinates of X=354,970.52 and
6	Y=107,200.00; thence East 4,985.26 feet to the point of beginning,
7	containing 801.12 acres in Mobile Bay Area Tracts 64 and 78.
8	
9	All bearings, distances and coordinates are based on the Alabama
10	Transverse Mercator Coordinate System of 1927, West Zone.
11	
12	The above-described production unit is located in Baldwin County,
13	Alabama, in the proposed Saxon Bay Field. This petition is filed pursuant
14	to Section 9-17-12(b) of the Code of Alabama (1975) and Rule 400-3-2-
15	.02 of the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code.
16	
17	This petition is filed as a companion to petition bearing Docket No. 9-30-
18	982 requesting establishment of the Saxon Bay Field and petitions bearing
19	Docket Nos. 9-30-984, 9-30-985 and 9-30-986 requesting the Board to
20	reform 160-acre wildcat drilling units to 801.12-acre production units in
21	the proposed Saxon Bay Field.
22	18 DOCKET NO 0 20 084
23	18. DOCKET NO. 9-30-984
24	Petition by LEGACY RESOURCES COMPANY, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, an Indiana limited partnership, authorized to do and
25 26	doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas
20 27	Board to enter an order reforming a 160-acre wildcat Miocene drilling unit
27	for Petitioner's State Lease 615 No. 2 Well, Permit No. 11417-OS-72,
20	consisting of portions of Mobile Bay Area Tracts 64 and 78 and described
30	as follows:
31	
32	Beginning at a point having Coordinates of X=351,274.90 and
33	Y=105,104.72; thence East 2,640.00 feet to a point having Coordinates of
34	X=353,914.90 and $Y=105,104.72$ ; thence South 2,640.00 feet to a point
35	having Coordinates of X=353,914.90 and Y=102,464.72; thence West
36	2,640.00 feet to a point having Coordinates of X=351,274.90 and
37	Y=102,464.72; thence North 2,640.00 feet to the point of beginning,
38	containing 160.00 acres in Mobile Bay Area Tracts 64 and 78,
39	
40	to a 801.12-acre production unit consisting of portions of Mobile Bay
41	Area Tracts 64 and 78, described as follows:

1 2	Beginning at a point having Coordinates of $X=354,970.52$ and $Y=107,200.00$ ; thence South 7000 feet to a point having Coordinates of
3	X=354,970.52 and Y=100,200.00; thence West 4,985.26 feet to a point
4	having Coordinates of X=349,985.26 and Y=100,200.00; thence North
5	7,000 feet to a point having Coordinates of X=349,985.26 and
6	Y=107,200.00; thence East 4,985.26 feet to the point of beginning,
7	containing 801.12 acres in Mobile Bay Area Tracts 64 and 78.
8	
9	All bearings, distances and coordinates are based on the Alabama
10	Transverse Mercator Coordinate System of 1927, West Zone.
11	
12	The above-described production unit is located in Baldwin County,
13	Alabama, in the proposed Saxon Bay Field. This petition is filed pursuant
14	to Section 9-17-12(b) of the Code of Alabama (1975) and Rule 400-3-2-
15	.02 of the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code.
16	The state is Children and the statistic fraction Destate No. 0.20
17	This petition is filed as a companion to petition bearing Docket No. 9-30-
18	982 requesting establishment of the Saxon Bay Field and petitions bearing
19	Docket Nos. 9-30-983, 9-30-985 and 9-30-986 requesting the Board to
20	reform 160-acre wildcat drilling units to 801.12-acre production units in
21	the proposed Saxon Bay Field.
22	10 DOCKET NO 0 20 095
23	19. DOCKET NO. 9-30-985 Petition by LEGACY RESOURCES COMPANY, LIMITED
24	Petition by LEGACY RESOURCES COMPANY, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, an Indiana limited partnership, authorized to do and
25	doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas
26	Board to enter an order reforming a 160-acre wildcat Miocene drilling unit
27	for Petitioner's State Lease 615 No. 3 Well, Permit No. 11418-OS-73,
28 29	consisting of portions of Mobile Bay Area Tract 78 and described as
30	follows:
31	1010 %3.
32	Beginning at a point having Coordinates of X=349,131.89 and
33	Y=98,598.77; thence East 2,640.00 feet to a point having Coordinates of
34	X=351,771.89 and $Y=98,598.77$ ; thence South 2,640.00 feet to a point
35	having Coordinates of X=351,771.89 and Y=95,958.77; thence West
36	2,640.00 feet to a point having Coordinates of X=349,131.89 and
37	Y=95,958.77; thence North 2,640.00 feet to the point of beginning,
38	containing 160.00 acres in Mobile Bay Area Tract 78,
39	
40	to a 801.12-acre production unit consisting of portions of Mobile Bay
41	Area Tract 78, described as follows:

1 2	Beginning at a point having Coordinates of $X=352,685.26$ and $Y=100,200.00$ ; thence South 7000 feet to a point having Coordinates of $X=352,685.26$ and $Y=93,200.00$ ; thence West 4,985.26 feet to a point
3 4	having Coordinates of $X=347,700.00$ and $Y=93,200.00$ ; thence North
4 5	7,000 feet to a point having Coordinates of $X=347,700.00$ and
6	Y=100,200.00; thence East 4,985.26 feet to the point of beginning,
7	containing 801.12 acres in Mobile Bay Area Tract 78.
8	
9	All bearings, distances and coordinates are based on the Alabama
10	Transverse Mercator Coordinate System of 1927, West Zone.
11	
12	The above-described production unit is located in Baldwin County,
13	Alabama, in the proposed Saxon Bay Field. This petition is filed pursuant
14 15	to Section 9-17-12(b) of the Code of Alabama (1975) and Rule 400-3-2- .02 of the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code.
15	.02 of the State On and Oas Doard of Madama Mammishance Coue.
17	This petition is filed as a companion to petition bearing Docket No. 9-30-
18	982 requesting establishment of the Saxon Bay Field and petitions bearing
19	Docket Nos. 9-30-983, 9-30-984 and 9-30-986 requesting the Board to
20	reform 160-acre wildcat drilling units to 801.12-acre production units in
21	the proposed Saxon Bay Field.
22	
23	20. DOCKET NO. 9-30-986
24	Petition by LEGACY RESOURCES COMPANY, LIMITED
25 26	PARTNERSHIP, an Indiana limited partnership, authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas
20 27	Board to enter an order reforming a 160-acre wildcat Miocene drilling unit
28	for Petitioner's State Lease 615 No. 4 Well, Permit No. 11430-OS-77,
29	consisting of portions of Mobile Bay Area Tract 78 and described as
30	follows:
31	
32	Beginning at a point having Coordinates of X=345,588.70 and
33	Y=103,663.50; thence East 2,640.00 feet to a point having Coordinates of
34	X=348,228.70 and $Y=103,663.50$ ; thence South 2,640.00 feet to a point
35	having Coordinates of $X=348,228.70$ and $Y=101,023.50$ ; thence West
36	2,640.00 feet to a point having Coordinates of $X=345,588.70$ and $Y=101.022.50$ ; thereas North 2.640.00 feet to the point of heginning
37 29	Y=101,023.50; thence North 2,640.00 feet to the point of beginning, containing 160.00 acres in Mobile Bay Area Tract 78,
38 39	containing 100.00 acres in Moone Day Area Hact 78,
40	to a 801.12-acre production unit consisting of portions of Mobile Bay
40	Area Tract 78, described as follows:

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Beginning at a point having Coordinates of $X=349,985.26$ and $Y=107,200.00$ ; thence South 7000 feet to a point having Coordinates of $X=349,985.26$ and $Y=100,200.00$ ; thence West 4,985.26 feet to a point having Coordinates of $X=345,000.00$ and $Y=100,200.00$ ; thence North 7,000 feet to a point having Coordinates of $X=345,000.00$ and $Y=107,200.00$ ; thence East 4,985.26 feet to the point of beginning, containing 801.12 acres in Mobile Bay Area Tracts 64 and 78.			
8 9	All bearings, distances and coordinates are based on the Alabama			
10	Transverse Mercator Coordinate System of 1927, West Zone.			
11				
12	The above-described production unit is located in Baldwin County,			
13	Alabama, in the proposed Saxon Bay Field. This petition is filed pursuant			
14	to Section 9-17-12(b) of the Code of Alabama (1975) and Rule 400-3-2-			
15	.02 of the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code.			
16	This solidion is filed as a communication to motivism begins Destat Ma 0.20			
17	This petition is filed as a companion to petition bearing Docket No. 9-30-			
18 19	982 requesting establishment of the Saxon Bay Field and petitions bearing Docket Nos. 9-30-983, 9-30-984 and 9-30-985 requesting the Board to			
19 20	reform 160-acre wildcat drilling units to 801.12-acre production units in			
20	the proposed Saxon Bay Field.			
22	the proposed basen buy rield.			
23	21. DOCKET NO. 9-30-987			
24	Petition by PENNSYLVANIA CASTLE ENERGY CORPORATION, a			
25	foreign corporation, authorized to do and doing business in the State of			
26	Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order under			
27	Rule 5D of the Special Field Rules for the Deerlick Creek Coal			
28	Degasification Field, Tuscaloosa County, Alabama. Rule 5 of the Special			
29	Field Rules for the Deerlick Creek Coal Degasification Field addresses			
30	drilling and completion operations and subsection D of said Rule 5			
31	specifies that other methods of completion are subject to approval of the			
32	Supervisor. Petitioner is requesting to be allowed to produce coalbed gas			
33 34	from the annular space between the surface casing and the production casing in the following wells in addition to the coalbed gas being produced			
34 35	through the production casing:			
35 36				
37	Well Name Permit No. Location			
38				
39	J.D. Jobson 23-8 #16 4006-C S23, T20S, R9W			
40	GSPC 24-4 #1 10141-C S24, T20S, R9W			

Albert Holman Lumber Co.		
24-7 #24	4213-C	S24, T20S, R9W
J.D. Jobson 25-4 #12	4002-C	S25, T20S, R9W
Bean 25-2	10273-C	S25, T20S, R9W
J.D. Jobson 24-13 #13	4003-C	S24, T20S, R9W
GSPC 24-6	11366-C	S24, T20S, R9W
Bean 24-11 #1	10139-C	S24, T20S, R9W
Bean 26-2	10263-C	S26, T20S, R9W

Petition by JABSCO OIL OPERATING, LLC, an Alabama limited liability company, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order force pooling, without the imposition of a risk compensation penalty, all tracts and interests in oil, gas and other hydrocarbons in a 320-acre unit for the Petitioner's re-entry of the McCracken 11-11 #1 Well, Permit No. 2717, consisting of the South Half of Section 11, Township 16 South, Range 12 West, Fayette County, Alabama, in the McCracken Mountain Field, pursuant to Section 9-17-13, *Code of Alabama* (1975), and Rule 400-1-13-.01 of the *State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code*.

23. DOCKET NO. 9-30-989

Petition by MOON-HINES-TIGRETT OPERATING CO., INC., a foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order approving an exception to Rule 3A of the Special Field Rules for the McCracken Mountain Field for the Hodges Estate 35-14 No. 1 Well, Permit No. 11454, completed on a 320-acre production unit consisting of the South Half of Section 35, Township 16 South, Range 12 West, Fayette County, Alabama. Rule 3A requires that all wells be drilled on and produced from a unit consisting of a governmental one-half (1/2) section containing approximately 320 acres, upon which no other drilling or producible well is located and the above-described 320-acre unit for the Hodges Estate 35-14 No. 1 Well overlaps a 320-acre unit consisting of the East Half of said Section 35 upon which Morrow Oil & Gas Co.'s Kimbrell 35-9 No. 1 Well, Permit No. 4558 is completed. Both wells are completed in the Lewis Sand, but are separated by a fault and are, therefore, in separate reservoirs.

This request was previously approved by Emergency Order E-98-101, dated August 21, 1998.

Petition by SMACKCO, LTD., an Alabama limited liability partnership, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order approving an exception to Rule 6 of the Special Field Rules for the Appleton Field, Escambia County, Alabama, to allow flaring of gas produced from the Appleton Unit 12-4 #3 Well, Permit No. 4633-B, the Appleton Unit 2-14 #1 Well, Permit No. 3854-B, the Appleton Unit 2-15 #5 (STH) Well, Permit No. 6247-B, and the Appleton Unit 2-16 #1 Well, Permit No. 4835-B, all of which are located in the Appleton Unit that consists of portions of Sections 1, 2, 11, and 12, Township 3 North, Range 9 East, Escambia County, Alabama, subject to applicable standards for air and water pollution control.

This request was approved by Emergency Order E-98-105 dated September 8, 1998.

25. DOCKET NO. 9-30-9811

Petition by COBRA OIL & GAS CORPORATION, a foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order approving an exception to Rule 15 of the Special Field Rules for the Northwest Appleton Field, Escambia County, Alabama, to allow flaring of gas produced from the McMillan 3-9 No. 1 Well, Permit No. 11030-B, located on a 200-acre production unit consisting of the West Half of the Southwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter and the West Half of the Northwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 2 and the South Half of the Northeast Quarter and the North Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 3, all in Township 3 North, Range 9 East, Escambia County, Alabama in the Northwest Appleton Field, subject to applicable standards for air and water pollution control.

This request was approved by Emergency Order E-98-106, dated September 8, 1998.

26. DOCKET NO. 9-30-9812

Petition by RIVER GAS CORPORATION, an Alabama corporation, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order under Rule 5D of the Special Field Rules for the Deerlick Creek Coal Degasification Field, Tuscaloosa County, Alabama. Rule 5 of said Special Field Rules addresses drilling and completion operations and subsection D of said Rule 5 specifies that other methods of completion are subject to approval by the Supervisor. Petitioner is requesting to be allowed to produce coalbed gas from the annular space between the surface casing and the production casing in the following described wells in addition to the coalbed gas being produced through the production casing:

	Well Name	Permit No.	Location
	First Alabama Bank 27-09-27	9872-C	S27, T20S, R9W
	Sam Friedman 23-04-41	6122-C	S23, T20S, R9W
	Davant 23-02-09	6120-C	S23, T20S, R9W
	Davant 23-07-07	6119-C	S23, T20S, R9W
	Wesley West 15-03-68	6296-C	S15, T20S, R9W
	Wesley West 13-11-06	5580-C	S13, T20S, R9W
	C. Cunningham 10-01-01	6249-C	S10, T20S, R9W
	Sam Friedman 22-15-39	6118-C	S22, T20S, R9W
	Davant 7-13-06	5825-C	S7, T20S, R8W
	Searcy/Christian/		
	Mayfield 18-11-18	5914-C	S18, T20S, R8W
	E.P. McDaniel 18-05-02	5588-C	S18, T20S, R8W
	Alabama Basic Land		
	Enterprises 23-10-04	9038-C	S23, T19S, R9W
	Wesley West 03-04-45	6225-C	S3, T20S, R9W
	Friedman & Loveman 10-15-29	6191-C	S10, T20S, R9W
	Wesley West 23-07-96	7627-C	S23, T19S, R9W
	Wesley West 23-03-93	7980-C	S23, T19S, R9W
	Frank Rice 02-06-01	6192-C	S2, T20S, R9W
- 1			

27. DOCKET NO. 9-30-9813

Petition by LONGLEAF ENERGY GROUP, INC., an Alabama corporation, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to amend Rule 2 of the Special Field Rules for the Mobley Creek Field, Covington County, Alabama, to re-define the Frisco City Sand Oil Pool, to be construed to mean those strata of the Lower Haynesville Formation productive of hydrocarbons between the measured depths of 11,193 feet to 11,468 feet as indicated on the Array Induction Log of the SMAK-W.D. Ward 16-16 #1 Well, Permit No. 11095, located on a 160-acre unit consisting of the West Half of the Southwest Quarter of Section 15, and the East Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 16, all Township 3 North, Range 14 East, Covington County, Alabama, including those strata productive of hydrocarbons which can be correlated therewith.

#### 28. Docket No. 9-20-9814

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

Petition by MUNOCO COMPANY, a foreign corporation, authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama to enter an Order establishing a new gas field in Lamar County, Alabama, to be named the Christian Chapel Gas Field, or such other name as the Board deems proper, and to adopt Special Field Rules therefor. The proposed field, as underlain by the Chandler Sand Gas Pool, consists of the South Half of Section 11, Township 16 South, Range 15 West, Lamar County, Alabama. The Chandler Sand Gas Pool should be defined as that interval of the Chandler Sand productive of hydrocarbons between 3993 and 4002 feet and 4004 to 4014 feet as indicated on the dual induction log for the Rufus M. King 11-15 No. 1 Well, Permit No. 11386, located on a 320-acre unit consisting of the South Half of Section 11, Township 16 South, Range 15 West, Lamar County, Alabama, and all zones in communication therewith and all productive extensions thereof. Petitioner is requesting well spacing of approximately 320 contiguous acres and the establishment of production allowables.

29. DOCKET NO. 9-20-9815

Petition by GRIFFIN RESOURCES, INC., a foreign corporation, authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama to enter an order approving an exceptional location for the proposed Bedford 4-2 No. 1 Well to be drilled at a location 540 feet from the West line and 1134 feet from the North line of a proposed 160-acre drilling unit consisting of the Northeast Quarter of Section 4, Township 9 South, Range 15 West, Marion County, Alabama, in the Bluegut Creek Field in said Marion County, Alabama. Said location is an exception to Rule 3 of the Special Field Rules for the Bluegut Creek Field which requires that such a well be located 660 feet from any exterior boundary of the unit.

30. DOCKET NO. 9-30-9816

Petition by EXXON CORPORATION, a New Jersey corporation, authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order approving the commingling of production from the Exxon Corp. State Lease 536 # 4 Well, Permit No. 11293-OS-68-B, with previously approved commingled production from other Exxon wells in the Northwest Gulf Unit, Mobile Area, Mobile County, Alabama, in accordance with the commingling and allocation procedures approved by the Board in Order Nos. 93-148 and 95-150.

Petition by EXXON CORPORATION, a New Jersey corporation, authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order approving changes in production metering and/or allocations so as to permit commingling of gas and all other production from the Northwest Gulf Unit, Mobile Area, Mobile County, Alabama, to accommodate the processing/treatment of federal lease gas production from OCS Block 867 along with state lease gas production and the processing/treatment of gas production from separate gas fields having separate ownerships and to modify, for reporting, allocating, and accounting said Unit's production in accordance with the commingling and allocation procedures approved by the Board in Order Nos. 93-148 and 95-150.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

36

37

38

39

40

41

32. DOCKET NO. 3-6-9637

Continued MOTION BY THE STATE OIL AND GAS BOARD OF ALABAMA to repeal and rescind all rules and regulations of statewide application and to promulgate new rules and regulations of statewide application, provided, however, that Special Field Rules shall not be repealed and rescinded. The rules and regulations of the State Oil and Gas Board are set forth in Rule 400-1-1-.01 et seq. of the *State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code*. Under this Motion, the State Oil and Gas Board proposes to make substantial changes to regulations governing coalbed methane gas operations, offshore operations, and various other regulations.

33. DOCKET NO. 9-30-9818

MOTION BY THE STATE OIL AND GAS BOARD OF ALABAMA to extend the shut-in status for the following well operated by Tony L. Williams.

<u>PERMIT NO</u> .	WELL NAME	LOCATION	<u>COUNTY</u>
6309	Williams 25-9	Sec. 25, T9S, R14W	Marion

#### DOCKET NO. 9-30-9819

Petition by THE OFFSHORE GROUP, INC., a foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas board to enter an order waiving the conductor casing requirement set forth in Rule 400-3-3-.02(3)(b) of the *State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code* for the 683 No. 3 Well proposed for the Northwest Dauphin Island Field, consisting of the Southeast Quarter of Tract 57 and the Northeast Quarter of Tract 71, Mobile County, Alabama. Said Rule requires a minimum of 200 feet of conductor casing for offshore wells drilled to a true vertical depth of 4,000 feet or less. The Special Field Rules for the Northwest Dauphin Island Field do not address conductor casing for wells drilled to a true vertical depth of 4,000 feet or less.

#### DOCKET NO. 9-30-9820

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38 Petition by THE OFFSHORE GROUP, INC., a foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order waiving the conductor casing requirement set forth in Rule 400-3-3-.02(3)(b) of the *State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code* for the State Lease 796 No. 2 Well proposed for the Northeast Petit Bois Pass Unit, Mobile County, Alabama. Said Rule requires a minimum of 200 feet of conductor casing for offshore wells drilled to a true vertical depth of 4,000 feet or less. The Special Field Rules for the Northeast Petit Bois Pass Unit do not address conductor casing for wells drilled to a true vertical depth of 4,000 feet or less.

This petition is a companion to the petition filed by The Offshore Group, Inc. under Docket No. 9-30-9821 which seeks an exceptional location for the said 796 No. 2 Well at a bottom-hole location of 340.6 feet from the South line and 2,938 feet from the East line of the Unit Area.

#### DOCKET NO. 9-30-9821

Petition by THE OFFSHORE GROUP, INC., a foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order granting an exceptional location for the State Lease 796 No. 2 Well proposed to be drilled in the Northeast Petit Bois Pass Unit consisting of the West Half of the Southeast Quarter and the East Half of the Southwest Quarter of Tract 71, Mobile County, Alabama. The Petitioner proposes to drill said Well to a bottomhole location of 340.6 feet from the South line and 2,938 feet from the East line of the Unit Area. The Special Field Rules for the Northeast Petit Bois Pass Unit address all wells to be drilled no closer than 660 feet from the exterior boundary of the Unit Area. This petition is a companion to a petition filed by The Offshore Group bearing Docket No. 9-30-9820 which seeks an order waiving the conductor casing requirement set forth in Rule 400-3-3-.02(3)(b) of the *State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code* for the said State Lease 796 No. 2 Well.

DOCKET NO. 9-30-9822

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

Petition by THE OFFSHORE GROUP, INC., a foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order granting an exceptional location for the State Lease 796 No. 1A Sidetrack Well proposed to be drilled in the Northeast Petit Bois Pass Unit (the "Unit") consisting of the West Half of the Southeast Quarter and the East Half of the Southwest Ouarter of Tract 71, Mobile County, Alabama. The Petitioner proposes to drill said Sidetrack Well as a horizontal extension from the bottom-hole location of the existing State Lease 796 No. 1 Sidetrack Well in a southwesterly direction. The horizontal section will enter the Dauphin Sand Gas Pool (the "Pool") at a starting location no closer than 340.6 feet from the South line of the Unit and 2,938 feet from the East line of the Unit. The horizontal section will terminate in the Pool at an ending location not more than 1,488 feet west, no closer than 3,074 feet from the West line and no closer than 340.6 feet from the South line of the Unit. Rule 14 of the Special Field Rules for the Northeast Petit Bois Pass Unit require all wells to be drilled no closer than 660 feet from the exterior boundary of the Unit Area.

Members of the public are invited to attend this meeting and to present to the Board their position concerning these matters. If special accommodations are needed to facilitate attendance or participation in the meeting, please call 205/349-2852, ext. 211.

The public is advised that the Board may promulgate orders concerning a petition which may differ from that requested by the petitioner concerning the lands described in the notice. Pursuant to this hearing, Section 9-17-1 et seq. of the *Code of Alabama* (1975) and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, the Board will enter such order or orders as in its judgment may be necessary based upon the evidence presented.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	The State Oil and Gas Board was originally established by Act No. 1 of the Legislature of Alabama in the Regular Session of 1945. The applicable law pertaining to the establishment of the Board now appears in Section 9-17-1 et seq. of the <i>Code of Alabama</i> (1975), as last amended. The applicable rules pertaining to the conduct of hearings by the Board are found in Rule 400-1-1201 et seq. of the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The next meeting of the Board will be held at 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, November 10, 1998, in the Board Room of the State Oil and Gas Board Building, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, and Friday, November 13, 1998, at the Brookley Learning Center, 4172 Commanders Drive, Mobile, Alabama. The notices for the November meeting should be filed on or before Friday, October 16, 1998. Petitions, exhibits, affidavits, and proposed orders must be filed on or before Tuesday, October 27, 1998. Requests to continue an item or to oppose an item listed on the docket should be received by the Board at least two (2) days prior to the hearing.
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	Donald F. Oltz Secretary to the Board
27	MR. ROGERS: I have an Order of the State Oil and Gas Board appointing me as
28	Hearing Officer to conduct this hearing on behalf of the Board. The Order will be made a part of
29	the record at this time.
30	(Whereupon, the Order was
31	received in evidence)
32	MR. ROGERS: The procedure for this meeting is as follows: The Hearing Officer and
33	the staff will hear the uncontested items on the docket today and certain other items. The State
34	Oil and Gas Board will hear the recommendations of the Hearing Officer, contested items, and

certain other items beginning at 10:00 a.m. on Friday, October 2, 1998, in the Board's offices in
Tuscaloosa. I will recommend that the following items be continued: Item 2, Docket No. 1-28-
983, petition by Lowry Exploration, Inc.; Item 3, Docket No. 4-8-9814, petition by Unit
Manager, Citronelle Unit; Item 4, Docket No. 5-13-9817, petition by JN Exploration &
Production Limited Partnership; Item 6, Docket No. 7-13-9811, petition by KWB Oil Property
Management, Inc.; Item 9, Docket No. 8-19-9811A, petition by JN Exploration & Production
Limited Partnership; Item 10, Docket No. 8-19-9812A, petition by JN; Item 11, Docket No. 8-
19-9813A, petition by JN; Item 13, Docket No. 8-19-9815, petition by Seneca Resources
Corporation.; Item 14, Docket No. 8-19-9816, petition by Seneca; Item 21, Docket No. 9-30-987,
petition by Pennsylvania Castle Energy Corporation; Item 26, Docket No. 9-30-9812, petition by
River Gas Corporation; and Item 32, Docket No. 3-6-9637, a Motion by the Oil and Gas Board.
Item 12, Docket No. 8-19-9814, is another petition by Seneca Resources Corporation. I will
recommend that this petition be continued with the stipulation that the temporary test allowable
for the Blacksher 32-10 No. 1 Well, Permit No. 11368-B, be extended and production royalties
held in escrow pending an order of the Board establishing the ultimate production unit for the
well. Item 33, Docket No. 9-30-9818, is a Motion by the Board relating to the Williams 25-9
Well, Permit No. 6309, operated by Tony L. Williams. I will recommend that the shut-in status
for that well be extended for six months or until the next regularly scheduled hearing following
the expiration of the six month period. I will recommend that the following items be dismissed
without prejudice: Item 23, Docket No. 9-30-989, petition by Moon-Hines-Tigrett Operating
Company, Inc.; and Docket No. 9-30-9822, petition by The Offshore Group, Inc. The following

items are set for the Board: Item 5, Docket No. 7-13-989B, petition by Taurus Exploration, Inc.; 1 Item 7, Docket No. 8-19-987B, petition by Taurus; Item 8, Docket No. 8-19-9810A, petition by 2 Land and Natural Resource Development, Inc.; Item 16, Docket No. 9-30-982A, petition by 3 Legacy Resources Company, Limited Partnership; Item 17, Docket No. 9-30-983A, petition by 4 Legacy; Item 18, Docket No. 9-30-984A, petition by Legacy; Item 19, Docket No. 9-30-985A, 5 petition by Legacy; Item 20, Docket No. 9-30-986A, petition by Legacy; Item 24, Docket No. 9-6 30-9810, petition by Smackco, Ltd.; Item 25, Docket No. 9-30-9811, petition by Cobra Oil & 7 Gas Corporation; Item 27, Docket No. 9-30-9813A, petition by Longleaf Energy Group, Inc.; 8 Item 30, Docket No. 9-30-9816A, petition by Exxon Corporation; Item 31, Docket No. 9-30-9 9817, petition by Exxon; Docket No. 9-30-9819, petition by The Offshore Group, Inc.; Docket 10 No. 9-30-9820, petition by The Offshore Group, Inc.; and Docket No. 9-30-9821, petition by The 11 Offshore Group, Inc. Are there any changes, comments or corrections to those 12 recommendations? (No response) The first item to be heard today is Item 1, Docket No. 11-12-13 974A, petition by Morrow Operating Company, Inc. 14 MR. TYRA: Mr. Rogers, I'll have one witness. 15 MR. ROGERS: Will you stand and state your name and address? 16 MR. MORROW: My name is W. E. Morrow. My address is 1367 Henry Hill Drive, 17 Jackson, Mississippi. 18 (Witness was sworn by Mr. Rogers) 19 MR. TYRA: Mr. Rogers, I'm John Tyra here on behalf of Morrow Operating Company. 20 Under Docket No. 11-12-974 we filed an amended petition requesting that a new oil field be 21

Item 1

	Item 1
1	established in Lamar County, Alabama, to be called the Hightogy Oil Field. The proposed field
2	is to consist of the Southeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 10, Township 16 South,
3	Range 15 West in Lamar County, Alabama. I have previously filed an affidavit concerning
4	notice and would ask that it be made a part of the record at this time.
5	MR. ROGERS: The affidavit of notice is admitted.
6	(Whereupon, the affidavit was
7	received in evidence)
8	MR. TYRA: Thank you. Mr. Morrow, have you previously testified before this Board as
9	a petroleum geologist?
10	MR. MORROW: Yes, I have.
11	MR. TYRA: Are your qualifications on file with the Board at this time?
12	MR. MORROW: I believe they are.
13	MR. TYRA: I would ask that Mr. Morrow be accepted as an expert petroleum geologist
14	at this time.
15	MR. ROGERS: He is so recognized.
16	WILLIAM E. MORROW
17	Appearing as a witness on behalf of Petitioner, Morrow Operating Company, testified as
18	follows:
	28

		Item 1
1		DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	Quest	ions by Mr. Tyra:
3	Q.	Mr. Morrow, are you familiar with the petition that we have filed herein concerning the
4		Hightogy Oil Field?
5	A.	Yes, I am.
6	Q.	You are familiar with the allegations contained in that petition?
7	А.	Yes.
8	Q.	Have you prepared or caused to be prepared exhibits in support of that petition?
9	А.	I have.
10	Q.	If you would, turn to your Exhibit 1 and explain what that shows, please.
11	А.	Exhibit 1 is more or less of an introductory. I'll go back over it. Morrow Operating
12		Company's No. 1 Curry 10-8, the subject well, is located in Section 10, Township 16
13		South, Range 15 West within the limits of the Mt. Zion Gas Field. It was originally
14	i.	drilled and completed by the Munoco Company of Eldorado, Arkansas, in July of 1997,
15		pumping 34 barrels of 37 gravity oil from perforations at 4,506 to 4,530 after acid and
16		fracture treatment. The log can be seen on Exhibit No. 5. Morrow Operating took over
17		the well on September 17, 1998. To date the well has produced approximately 3,000
18		barrels of oil and is presently pumping at the rate of five barrels a day. The Curry unit is
19		now included within the limits of the Mt. Zion Field; however, structural and
20		stratigraphic considerations I believe provide a basis for the petition to delete the Curry
21		unit from the Mt. Zion Field and to declare it the discovery well for the Hightogy Field.

		The Market Fight that the Market Con Fight is it not that you are referring to?
1	Q.	The Mt. Zion Field, that's the Mt. Zion Gas Field, is it not, that you are referring to?
2	A.	Mt. Zion Gas Field. On Exhibit 1 it is outlined in red.
3	Q.	All right. Your proposed field is outlined in yellow. Is that correct?
4	A.	Outlined in yellow.
5	Q.	All right, sir. Down at the bottom of the exhibit the green outline would be the Mt. Zion
6		Oil Field?
7	А.	That's the Mt. Zion Oil Field and it's producing oil mainly out of the Lewis sand and
8		some out of the Carter sand.
9	Q.	If you will, turn to your Exhibit 2 and explain what that shows, please, sir.
10	A.	Exhibit 2 is a structural interpretation. The considerations here are structural. I think one
11		of the big reasons for declaringfor wanting a new field is that the structural separation
12		between the Mt. Zion Field and the No. 1 Curry is provided through the presence of a
13		northwest-southeast trending fault which is downthrown to the east in 300 to 350 feet of
14		throw. The trap for the Mt. Zion Field is closure against the upthrown side of the fault
15		whereas the Curry well to the east is downthrown to the Mt. Zion Field and is some 250
16		feet structurally low to the lowest producing Carter wells in the Mt. Zion Field. The
17		saltwater hydrocarbon contact differentials between the field and the Curry well confirm
18		the structural separation. The gas-water contact for the Carter sand in the Mt. Zion Field
19		is approximately -3,815. The base of the Carter Oil sand logged in the Curry 10-8 is at
20		-4,067. There is no oil-water contact so I think that provides a pretty good case for
21		separation from the field. There is only one well in the Mt. Zion Field that has ever

Item 1

produced any considerable amount of oil from the Carter and that was the Rickman well in Section 21. Since I figured the oil-water contact at the number I gave you, it has got to be separate.

4 Q. If you would now, turn to your Exhibit 3 and explain what that shows.

Exhibit 3 is an isopach of the sand as such. The sand consists of 22 feet of the upper lobe 5 Α. of the Carter sand. You can see that in Exhibit No. 4. The configuration and aerial 6 extent of the sand and the effective reservoir can't be determined as it is only one well. 7 The sand is very tight in all of the surrounding wells but the reason I trend it more or less 8 to the south or the southeast is that in Section 14 the Southland Royalty Jones 14-10 9 shows the gas tested through pipe from the top of the Carter in that well. It never was 10 completed because the casing collapsed. Those are the only shows in the Carter sand that 11 could possibly be associated with this. They are 24 feet high and they may be in the gas 12 portion of the reservoir. Wells to the east are tight; however, the Munoco Reeves in 13 Section 11 was tested in the Carter. It tested gas and salt water. It was in the Carter sand. 14 The base of the sand was 25 feet high to the oil sand in the Curry well, so that effectively 15 16 separates it from anything.

17 Q. Your Exhibit 4?

1

2

- 18 A. Exhibit 4 shows the section that was perforated.
- 19 Q. That would be your type log showing the Carter sand?

That's the type log for the sand in the well. The other two sections of log are the neutron Α. 1 density and the micro log. The whole section is perforated but essentially we're 2 producing out of about four feet. 3 All right, sir. Exhibit 5 is an OGB-9 form filed by Munoco Company. Is that correct? 4 Q. Yes. 5 Α. To your knowledge was it filed in the normal course of business? Q. 6 Yes. 7 A. What does it show? 8 Q. It shows all the pertinent data, the TD, the data obtained from the test--from the initial 9 Α. test. 10 All right, sir. If I am reading it correctly then, the amount of hydrocarbons during the test Q. 11 was 34 barrels. Is that correct? 12 Yes, that was the potential test, pumping 34 barrels a day. 13 А. That was through what choke size? 14 Q. I don't know whether they had a choke in it or not. Yeah, 14/64. 15 Α. What was the tubing pressure during that period of testing? 16 Q. 500 pounds. 17 Α. For a 24-hour test. Is that correct? 18 Q. That's right. 19 А. MR. TYRA: At this time I would ask that the exhibits to the testimony be admitted into 20 evidence. 21

Item 1

	Item 1
	Item 15
1	MR. ROGERS: The exhibits are admitted.
2	(Whereupon, the exhibits were
3	received in evidence)
4	Q. Mr. Morrow, are you familiar with the term "waste" as it is defined by the laws and
5	statutes of the State of Alabama and the Administrative Code of this Board?
6	A. Yes, I am.
7	Q. In your opinion, would the granting of this petition prevent waste?
8	A. Yes, it would.
9	Q. Is it also your opinion that the granting of the petition would protect coequal and
10	correlative rights?
11	A. Yes, it would.
12	MR. TYRA: Those are all the questions I have of Mr. Morrow. I will tender him to the
13	staff now for any questions you may have.
14	MR. ROGERS: The staff has no questions. We will review the evidence and make a
15	recommendation to the Board. Thank you.
16	MR. TYRA: Thank you.
17	MR. ROGERS: The next item is Item 15, Docket No. 9-30-981, petition by Sanford
18	Resources Corporation.
19	MR. WATSON: Mr. Rogers, I have one witness and would like to have him sworn in.
20	MR. ROGERS: Will you state your name and address?
	33

	Item 15
1	MR. SANFORD: My name is Emmett Sanford. I live in Vernon, Alabama, at 445 Town
2	Branch Road.
3	(Witness was sworn by Mr. Rogers)
4	MR. WATSON: This is a petition by Sanford Resources to amend Rule 2 of the Special
5	Field Rules for the Newtonville Field in Fayette County in order to add a new gas pool to that
6	field. This matter comes on publication notice, Mr. Rogers. Mr. Sanford, you have appeared
7	before this Board and have on file an affidavit of your qualifications as a petroleum geologist. Is
8	that correct?
9	MR. SANFORD: Yes.
10	MR. WATSON: You are familiar with the petition on file here today to amend Rule 2 of
11	the Newtonville Field rules so as to add a new gas pool to that field?
12	MR. SANFORD: I am.
13	MR. WATSON: You have prepared exhibits in support of this petition?
14	MR. SANFORD: I have.
15	MR. WATSON: I tender Mr. Sanford as an expert petroleum geologist for giving
16	testimony in this matter, Mr. Rogers.
17	MR. ROGERS: He is so recognized.
18	EMMETT SANFORD
19	Appearing as a witness on behalf of Petitioner, Sanford Resources Corporation, testified
20	as follows:

		Item 15
1		DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	Quest	ions by Mr. Watson:
3	Q.	I've handed up to you folders with the exhibits that Mr. Sanford will be testifying to. Mr.
4		Sanford, if you would turn in the folder tothe first page in the folder is an index of the
5		exhibits that you will testify to. Is that correct?
6	А.	That's correct.
7	Q.	Let's turn then to your first exhibit, Exhibit No. 1. Tell Mr. Rogers and members of the
8		staff what is shown there, please, sir.
9	А.	That's an OGB-9 report on the No. 1 Coprich 19-8 Well which is located in the
10		Newtonville Field being completed and perforated from an interval 3,709 to 3,712 and
11		from 3,716 to 3,720 which is the interval for the Millerella sand in that field. It further
12		indicates the results of that test of that completion.
13	Q.	The OGB-9 shows the test. The test rate as shown there is 10 Mcf/d?
14	А.	That's correct.
15	Q.	You actually re-entered this Coprich 19-8 well to recomplete in the Millerella. Is that
16		correct?
17	А.	That's right. It's recompleted at a very thin tight zone of the Millerella in that well.
18	Q.	Let's discuss that zone as we turn to your Exhibit 2. That's the type log on this sand.
19		Tell us what's shown there, please, sir.

	1	
1	A.	Highlighted is the interval from 3,708 to 3,720 which encompasses the Millerella zone
2		and the sand porosity in the two to three small streaks of sand porosity that we are able to
3		find on that log.
4	Q.	Your Exhibit 3, Mr. Sanford. Tell us what that exhibit is and what it shows, please, sir.
5	A.	Exhibit 3 outlines in the dark outline the existing MillerellaI'm sorry, the existing
6		Newtonville Field as it is set up for the Carter sand. The isopach of the Millerella sand
7		porosity is shown on this exhibit as it is developed in the Newtonville Field.
8	Q.	You are attributing four feet of porosity in the Coprich well in the Millerella. Is that
9		correct?
10	A.	That's correct. That's total.
11	Q.	Let's look at your next exhibit, Exhibit No. 4, which is a structure map. Describe that
12		structure map to the staff, please, sir.
13	A.	This is a structure map of the top of the Millerella sand in the field showing the
14	1	distribution of the sand across the area from a structural standpoint on the top of the sand.
15	Q.	All right, sir. Your final exhibit is a cross sectionstructural cross section. Would you
16		describe that to the staff, please, sir?
17	A.	Cross section A-A' is shown on Exhibit 3 as going from the Coprich 19-8 well to the
18		Shepherd 20-3 and down to the Gravlee 21-11.
19	Q.	All right, sir. This shows the presence of the Millerella sand and all those wells as a
20		continuous sand across that area?
21	A.	Yes, it does.

All right, sir. These other wells, the Shepherd and the Gravlee wells, they are not Q. 1 completed in the Millerella sand? 2 No, sir, they are not. I'm sorry, the Shepherd well is undergoing a test at the present time 3 Α. in the Millerella but it's not on production. 4 Not on production and not having been completed there. So it's the purpose of these 5 Q. exhibits and your testimony is it not, Mr. Sanford, to add the Millerella sand as you have 6 defined it as encountered in the Coprich well to Rule 2 of the Special Field Rules for the 7 Newtonville Field? 8 Yes. 9 Α. MR. WATSON: Mr. Rogers, I would ask that you receive into the record of the hearing 10 today Exhibits 1 through 5 to the testimony of Mr. Sanford. 11 MR. ROGERS: The exhibits are admitted. 12 (Whereupon, the exhibits were 13 received in evidence) 14 Mr. Sanford, if the Board sees fit to approve our petition and amend the Special Field 15 Q. Rules so as to add the Millerella Sand Gas Pool to the Newtonville Field, will "waste" be 16 prevented, coequal and correlative rights protected, and development of our natural 17 resources enhanced? 18 Yes, it will. Α. 19 MR. WATSON: I tender Mr. Sanford to the staff for any questions you have. 20

	Item 22
	M. D. CEDS. The staff has no superions. We will review the ovidence and make a
1	MR. ROGERS: The staff has no questions. We will review the evidence and make a
2	recommendation to the Board.
3	MR. WATSON: Thank you, sir.
4	MR. ROGERS: The next item is Item 22, Docket No. 9-30-988, petition by Jabsco Oil
5	Operating, LLC.
6	MR. WATSON: Mr. Rogers, I have prefiled an affidavit of notice in this matter and
7	would ask that it be incorporated into the record of this hearing.
8	MR. ROGERS: The affidavit is admitted.
9	(Whereupon, the affidavit was
10	received in evidence)
11	MR. WATSON: This is a force pooling, without imposition of a risk compensation fee,
12	for a 320-acre unit in the McCracken Mountain Field. It's the McCracken 11-11 No. 1 Well on a
13	320-acre unit consisting of the South Half of Section 11, Township 16 South, Range 12 West,
14	Fayette County, Alabama. I have prefiled an affidavit of testimony by David Fouts in support of
15	this application for force pooling. Mr. Fouts is qualified as an expert landman before this Board.
16	I would ask that you make the original affidavit of testimony a part of the record of this hearing.
17	MR. ROGERS: The affidavit of testimony is admitted.
18	(Whereupon, the affidavit was
19	received in evidence)
20	MR. WATSON: I submit the matter on the basis of the affidavit of testimony.

	Item 28
1	MR. ROGERS: You know, with interest, it's a pretty large percentage force pooled, isn't
2	it, Mr. Watson, 76.5 acres?
3	MR. WATSON: Yes. I think at the time a lot of theseof course, these are working
4	interest owners and a lot of these working interest owners are going to sign but at the time of the
5	filing and in order to get this matter processed when we filed it this timewe may have more
6	before we actually send out all the bills that will agree to participate.
7	MR. ROGERS: The staff will review the evidence and make a recommendation to the
8	Board. The next item is Item 28, Docket No. 9-30-9814A, petition by Munoco Company.
9	MR. TYRA: Mr. Rogers, I'll have one witness.
10	MR. ROGERS: Will you state your name and address?
11	MR. KAVANAUGH: My name is Ernest G. Kavanaugh. My address is 200 North
12	Jefferson, Suite 308, El Dorado, Arkansas.
13	(Witness was sworn by Mr. Rogers)
14	MR. TYRA: Mr. Rogers, again I'm John Tyra here on behalf of Munoco Company. We
15	filed a petition to establish a new gas field in Lamar County, Alabama. The proposed name is
16	the Christian Chapel Gas Field to consist of the South Half of Section 11, Township 16 South,
17	Range 15 West, Lamar County, Alabama. Mr. Kavanaugh, you have previously submitted
18	testimony to this Board in the form of affidavits, have you not?
19	MR. KAVANAUGH: Yes, I have.
20	MR. TYRA: You have your resume on file with the Board?
21	MR. KAVANAUGH: Yes, I do.

MR. TYRA: But you have not actually appeared before the Board and testified until this 1 date, have you not? 2 MR. KAVANAUGH: No, I have not. 3 MR. TYRA: Would you give a brief explanation or diagram of your educational 4 background and work experience, please? 5 MR. KAVANAUGH: I graduated from Northeast Louisiana University with a 6 Bachelor's Degree in Petroleum Geology in December of 1975. I then graduated with a Master 7 of Science in Geology from Northeast Louisiana University in 1978. In June of 1978 I was 8 employed with Superior Oil Company in Houston, Texas, as a geoscientist. I then was employed 9 by Munoco Company of El Dorado, Arkansas, in July of 1979 to present as a petroleum 10 geologist. 11 MR. TYRA: Mr. Rogers, I would ask that he be admitted at this time as an expert 12 petroleum geologist. 13 MR. ROGERS: He is so recognized. 14 ERNEST KAVANAUGH 15 Appearing as a witness on behalf of Petitioner, Munoco Company, L.C., testified as 16 follows: 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 19 Questions by Mr. Tyra: Mr. Kavanaugh, are you familiar with the petition that I have filed in this matter **O**. 20 21 concerning the new field?

		Item 28
1	А.	Yes, I am.
2	Q.	Are you familiar with the allegations contained therein?
3	A.	Yes, I am.
4	Q.	Have you prepared exhibits in support of that petition?
<del>-</del> 5	Q. A.	I have.
		If you would, turn to the exhibit booklet and explain what those exhibits show, please.
6	Q.	•
7	A.	Exhibit 1 of the exhibit booklet is a location plat showing the location of the proposed
8		Christian Chapel Gas Field in Lamar County, Alabama. It is situated in Section 11,
9		Township 16 South, Range 15 West. The proposed field limits for the field is the
10		production unit for the Munoco Rufus M. King 11-15 No. 1 Well which consist of the
11		South Half of Section 11 that is outlined in yellow on the exhibit.
12	Q.	What is that outlined in red on your exhibit?
13	А.	Outlined in red is the Mt. Zion Gas Field.
14	Q.	The blue?
15	A.	Outlined in blue is the proposed Hightogy Oil Field. Outlined in green is the Mt. Zion
16		Oil Field.
17	Q.	All right, sir. Turn to your second exhibit, please, and explain what that shows.
18	А.	Exhibit 2 is a structural contour map of the base of the Millerella sand which shows in
19		yellow the proposed field limits for the Christian Chapel Gas Field. The exhibit uses a
20		scale of two-inches equals one mile and a contour interval of 50 feet. The exhibit shows
21		that the Rufus M. King 11-15 No. 1 Well is situated upon an anticlinal nose which dips or

1		plunges to the southwest south of the down-to-the-north fault which trends in a
2		northwest-southeast direction and west of a down-to-the-east fault which trends in a
3		north-south direction.
4	Q.	All right, sir. Your next exhibit, Exhibit No. 3.
5	А.	Exhibit 3 is an isolith of the net Chandler sand with a contour interval of ten feet. The
6		proposed field limits of the Christian Chapel Gas Field is outlined in yellow. Exhibit 3
7		illustrates the trap that is downdip to the west and south and updip to the north and east
8		by a pinchout of the porous and permeable sand. This exhibit shows that the trapping
9		mechanism for the Chandler sand had a stratigraphic component and in combination with
10		Exhibit 2 illustrates that the trapping mechanism for this gas pool accumulation is of a
11		stratigraphic structural nature.
12	Q.	All right, sir. If you will, turn to your type log, Exhibit 4. Explain what that shows.
13	A.	Exhibit 4 is a type log of the Rufus M. King 11-15 No. 1 Well. The exhibit shows a
14		portion of the dual induction log run on the King well showing the zone in which the well
15		was completed. The perforated interval for the subject well was 3,993 to 4,002 feet and
16		4,004 to 4,014 feet within the Chandler sand.
17	Q.	What does your final exhibit show?
18	A.	Exhibit 5 is a copy of form OGB-9, first production or retest report, filed in the normal
19		course of doing business with the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama as to the King 11-
20		15 well. The form indicates that the King 11-15 well encountered gas from the Chandler
21		sand between perforations of 3,993 to 4,002 feet and 4,004 to 4,014 feet. The well was

	Item 28
1	tested for an 18-hour period on 6/11/98. The test rate was 1,425 Mcf/d using a choke size
2	of 16/64.
3	MR. TYRA: Mr. Rogers, I would ask that the exhibits to the testimony of Mr.
4	Kavanaugh be admitted at this time.
5	MR. ROGERS: The exhibits are admitted.
6	(Whereupon, the exhibits were
7	received in evidence)
8	MR. TYRA: I have also prefiled an affidavit of notice concerning this matter that I would
9	ask to be admitted as well.
10	MR. ROGERS: The affidavit of notice is admitted.
11	(Whereupon, the affidavit was
12	received in evidence)
13	Q. Mr. Kavanaugh, are you familiar with the term "waste" as it's defined by the laws and the
14	statutes of the State of Alabama?
15	A. Yes, I am.
16	Q. In your opinion, will the granting of this petition prevent "waste"?
17	A. Yes, it would.
18	Q. In your opinion, would the granting of this petition also protect coequal and correlative
19	rights?
20	A. Yes, it would.

[

	Item 28
	Item 29
1	MR. TYRA: That's all the questions I have. I would tender Mr. Kavanaugh to the staff
2	for any questions that you may have.
3	MR. ROGERS: The staff has no questions. We will review the evidence and make a
4	recommendation to the Board. Thank you.
5	MR. TYRA: Thank you.
6	MR. ROGERS: The last item is Item 29, Docket No. 9-30-9815, petition by Griffin
7	Resources, Inc.
8	MR. TYRA: I'm John Tyra here on behalf of Griffin Resources, Inc. Docket No. 9-30-
9	9815 is a request for an exceptional location for a proposed well in Marion County, Alabama, the
10	Bedford 4-2 No. 1 Well to be drilled 540 feet from the West line and 1,134 feet from the North
11	line of a 160-acre drilling unit consisting of the Northeast Quarter of Section 4, Township 9
12	South, Range 15 West, Marion County, Alabama. This is in the Bluegut Creek Field which
13	requires all wells to be at least 660 feet from the exterior boundary of the unit. For that reason,
14	we are requesting an exceptional location. I have previously filed an affidavit of notice in this
15	matter and would request that that be made a part of the record at this time.
16	MR. TYRA: The affidavit is admitted.
17	(Whereupon, the affidavit was
18	received in evidence)
19	MR. TYRA: Also I have prefiled an affidavit of testimony of Mr. Van Griffin, a
20	petroleum engineer and CEO of Griffin Resources, Inc., and would request that that be made a
21	part of the record.

	Item 29
1	MR. ROGERS: That affidavit is admitted.
2	(Whereupon, the affidavit was
3	received in evidence)
4	MR. TYRA: We will submit this matter to the Board on the basis of that affidavit.
5	MR. ROGERS: Mr. Tyra, would you mind just briefly explaining what the principal
6	basis is for the exceptional location?
7	MR. TYRA: Yes, sir. A typographical map has been submitted as an exhibit. I failed to
8	have those exhibits admitted as a part of the record, the exhibits to the testimony of Mr. Griffin.
9	If you would, admit those as well.
10	MR. ROGERS: They are admitted.
11	(Whereupon, the exhibits were
12	received in evidence)
13	MR. TYRA: Exhibit 3 is a topographic map that shows the Vina, Alabama, area. It
14	includes the Bluegut Creek Field. There is across the proposed unit a large electric utility
15	transmission line which is at the position where a regular location would be. Because of the line
16	Mr. Griffin had to move his well or needs to move his well at least 200 feet away from that line.
17	By doing so it puts them in a position 540 feet from one of the exterior boundaries. He believes,
18	as his affidavit shows, that this is the most optimum position both geologically and
19	typographically because of the existence of that line. That is the basis of the request for the
20	exceptional location.

<ul> <li>MR. ROGERS: We appreciate you explaining that. The staff has no questions. We were view the evidence and make a recommendation to the Board.</li> <li>MR. TYRA: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. ROGERS: Any other business for this hearing? (No response) The hearing is adjourned.</li> <li>(Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned at 10:40 a.m.)</li> </ul>	111
<ul> <li>MR. TYRA: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. ROGERS: Any other business for this hearing? (No response) The hearing is</li> <li>adjourned.</li> </ul>	
4 MR. ROGERS: Any other business for this hearing? (No response) The hearing is 5 adjourned.	
5 adjourned.	
6 (Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned at 10:40 a.m.)	
46	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	STATE OF ALABAMA
7	COUNTY OF TUSCALOOSA
8 9	I, Rickey Estes, Hearing Reporter in and for the State of Alabama, do hereby certify that
10	on Wednesday, September 30, 1998, in the Board Room of the State Oil and Gas Board
11	Building, University of Alabama Campus, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, I reported the proceedings
12	before a Hearing Officer; that the foregoing 46 typewritten pages contain a true and accurate
13	verbatim transcription of said proceedings to the best of my ability, skill, knowledge, and belief.
14	I further certify that I am neither kin or counsel to the parties to said cause, nor in any
15	manner interested in the results thereof.
16 17 18 19 20 21	Rickey Estes Rickey Estes Hearing Reporter State of Alabama