INDEX

		DIRECT/ RE-DIRECT	CROSS/ RE-CROSS	EXAM. BY BOARD/STAFF
1.	Todd Hines	14-19 22-25		20-21
2.	Steve Hutchings	26-30		
3.	Tony Harris	33-35		

MC un 3-15-02

EXHIBIT NO. (ITEM NO.)	TITLE (TESTIMONY OF)	OFFERED	RECEIVED
Board Exhibit	Hearing Officer Report	12	12
Exhibit 1 (Item 6)	Structure map, Pottsville A sand, Sneads Creek Field (Todd Hines)	19	19
Exhibit 2 (Item 6)	Isopach map, Pottsville A sand, Sneads Creek Field (Todd Hines)	19	19
Exhibit 3 (Item 6)	Electric log, Latham-Wood 9-7 #1 Sneads Creek Field (Todd Hines)	19	19
Exhibit 4 (Item 6)	Form OGB-9, Latham-Wood 9-7 No. 1-T, Sneads Creek Field (Todd Hines)	19	19
Exhibit 5 (Item 6)	Well location plat, Latham-Wood 9-7 Sneads Creek Field (Todd Hines)	19	19
Exhibit 6 (Item 6)	Proof of publication, (The Pickens County Herald)	13	13
Exhibit 7 (Item 6)	Affidavit of notice (William T. Watson)	13	13

EXHIBIT NO. (ITEM NO.)	TITLE (TESTIMONY OF)	OFFERED	RECEIVED
Exhibit 1 (Items 10, 11,12)	Well location plat, Stark 16-6, Academy Church fie (Todd Hines)	25 eld	25
Exhibit 1A (Items 10,11,12)	Structure map, top Millerella limestone, Academy Church Field (Todd Hines)	25	25
Exhibit 2 (Items 10, 11,12)	Cross Section A-A', Academy Church Field (Todd Hines)	25	25
Exhibit 3 (Items 10, 11,12)	Form OGB-9, Ogden 16-14 No. 1, Gilmer Sand, Academy Church (Todd Hines)	25 Field	25
Exhibit 4 (Items 10, 11,12)	Form OGB-9 Stark 16-6 No. 1, Chandler Sand, Academy Churc (Todd Hines)	25 h Field	25
Exhibit 5 (Items 10,11,12)	Form OGB-9, Ogden 17-16 No. 1, Box Sand, Academy Church Fie (Todd Hines)	25 eld	25
Exhibit 6 (Item 11)	Affidavit of notice (William T. Watson	22	22
Exhibit 7 (Items 10,11,12)	Copies of proofs of publication (The West Alabama Gazette)	22	22

EXHIBIT NO. (ITEM NO.)	TITLE (TESTIMONY OF)	OFFERED	RECEIVED
Exhibit 1 (Item 14)	1/17/02 letter to Edna B. Jones with attached green card (Steven M. Hutchings)	28	28
Exhibit 2 (Item 14)	Proof of publication (The Tuscaloosa News)	26	26
Exhibit 3 (Item 14)	Affidavit of notice (William T. Watson)	26	26
Exhibit (1-3) (Item 16)	Affidavit of testimony (Gretchen A. Dilday)	31	31
Exhibit 1 (Item 16)	Wellbore schematic, State Lease 350 Well No. 1, Lower Mobile Bay-Mary Ann (Gretchen A. Dilday)	31 Field	31
Exhibit 2 (Item 16)	Wellbore schematic, State Lease 350 Well No. 2, Southeast Mobile Bay Field (Gretchen A. Dilday)	31	31
Exhibit 3 (Item 16)	Wellbore schematic, State Lease 347 Well No. 2, Lower Mobile May-May Ann (Gretchen A. Dilday)	31 Field	31
Exhibit 4 (Item 16)	Proof of publication (The Mobile Register)	31	31
Exhibit 5 (Item 16)	Proof of publication (The Onlooker)	31	31

EXHIBIT NO.	TITLE	OFFERED	DECEMED
(ITEM NO.)	(TESTIMONY OF)	OFFERED	RECEIVED
Exhibit 6 (Item 16)	2/4/02 letter to Riley Smith (Gary V. Wilson)	31	31
Exhibit 7 (Item 16)	Affidavit of notice (William T. Watson)	31	31
Exhibit 1 (Item 17)	Affidavit of testimony (Tony R. Harris)	33	33
Exhibit 2 (Item 17)	Configuration of blowout preventer system, Fairway Field (Tony R. Harris)	35	36
Exhibit 3 (Item 17)	Configuration of blowout preventer system, Fairway Field (Tony R. Harris)	35	36
Exhibit 4 (Item 17)	Configuration of blowout preventer system, Fairway Field (Tony R. Harris)	35	36
Exhibit 5 (Item 17)	Blowout preventer test pressure data, State Lease 531 1 Fairway field (Tony Harris)	35 No. 5	36
Exhibit 6 (Item 17)	Proof of publication (The Mobile Register)	32	32
Exhibit A (Item 18)	Rule 400-7-201	36	36
Exhibit B (Item 18)	Proof of publication (The Mobile Register)	36	37

EXHIBIT NO. (ITEM NO.)	TITLE (TESTIMONY OF)	OFFERED	RECEIVED
Exhibit C (Item 18)	Proof of publication (The Montgomery Advertiser)	36	37
Exhibit D (Item 18)	Proof of publication (The Birmingham News)	36	37

STATE OIL AND GAS BOARD OF ALABAMA

Tuscaloosa, Alabama

February 15, 2002

Testimony and proceedings before the State Oil and Gas Board in Regular Session in the Board Room of the State Oil and Gas Board Building, University of Alabama Campus, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, pursuant to adjournment, on this the 15th day of February, 2002.

BEFORE:

Mr. Gaines C. McCorquodale
Mr. Matthew S. Metcalfe
Mr. M. Stephen Dampier
BOARD STAFF
Mr. Marvin Rogers Attorney
Dr. Donald F. Oltz Secretary and Supervisor
Mr. Gary Wilson Deputy Director
Mr. Jay H. Masingill
Dr. David Bolin
Mr. Richard Hamilton Engineer
Mr. Douglas HallGeologist
Mr. Thomas SextonGeologist

2 3	on February 15, 2002, in Tusclaoosa, Alabama.)
5	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: Let the record reflect that the State Oil and Gas Board is
6	now in session. Dr. Oltz, have the items to be heard today been properly noticed?
7	DR. OLTZ: Mr. Chairman, the items to be heard today have been properly noticed. The
8	agenda of today's meeting has been transmitted to the recording secretary.
9	
10	
11	AGENDA
12	STATE OIL AND GAS BOARD OF ALABAMA
13	FEBRUARY 13 & 15, 2002
14	
15	The State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama will hold its regular Board Meeting at
16	10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, February 13, and Friday, February 15, 2002, in the
17	Board Room of the State Oil and Gas Board, Walter B. Jones Hall, University of
18	Alabama Campus, 420 Hackberry Lane, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, to consider among
19	other items, the following petition(s):
20	
21	1. DOCKET NO. 10-31-01-4
22	Continued petition by S. LAVON EVANS, JR. OPERATING COMPANY, INC.,
23	a foreign corporation, authorized to do and doing business in the State of
24	Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order force pooling,
25	without the imposition of a risk compensation penalty, all tracts and interests in
26	hydrocarbons produced in the Graham 12-5 No. 1 Well to be drilled on a 320-acre
27	unit consisting of the West Half of Section 12, Township 15 South, Range 15 West, Lamar County, Alabama, in the Southeast Watson Creek Field.
28	Lamar County, Alabama, in the Southeast Watson Creek Field.
29 30	This Petition is in accordance with Section 9-17-13, Code of Alabama (1975), as
31	amended, and Rule 400-7-201 of the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama
32	Administrative Code.
-	

2. DOCKET NO. 10-31-01-12

Continued petition by EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION, a foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order in accordance with the terms and conditions of Rules 400-1-7-01 and 400-2-6-.10(4)(b) of the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code approving the installation of bi-directional piping and metering connections for the installation of approved meters to the inlet pipelines of its Mary Ann Treating Facility, Mobile 823 Treating Facility and the Onshore Treating Facility in order to allow production from Petitioner's offshore leases to be treated in any of these three (3) ExxonMobil operated onshore facilities located in Coden, Mobile County, Alabama. Petitioner's initial pipeline installation will include one 12-inch gas pipeline, one 8-inch gas pipeline, one 6-inch liquid pipeline, one 4-inch liquid pipeline and one 4-inch utility line, each approximately 1000 feet in length. The installation of such pipelines will afford Petitioner greater flexibility in directing flow in order to best utilize plant capacity, meet operational needs, and maximize the production of Alabama's offshore hydrocarbon resources.

3. DOCKET NO. 12-6-01-6

Continued petition by LAND and NATURAL RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT, INC., an Alabama corporation, authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the Board to extend the temporarily abandoned status for 207 wells, 70 wells which are operated by Land and Natural Resource Development, Inc. and 137 wells which are operated by TECO Coalbed Methane, Inc., all of which are located in the Moundville Field, Tuscaloosa and Hale Counties, Alabama. The Board extended the temporarily abandoned status of these wells until December 7, 2001, in Order No. 2001-162, issued on September 28, 2001. In said Order, the Board ordered operators Land and Natural Resource Development, Inc. and TECO Coalbed Methane, Inc. to commence operations to plug and abandon wells, and that all wells shall be plugged and abandoned by August 31, 2002. Petitioner owns an interest in said wells and is complying with said order. Petitioner also alleges that said wells have future utility. The wells are located in the following Sections:

Township 23 North, Range 3 East Sections: 13, 24

Township 23 North, Range 4 East Sections: 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 28, 29, 30

Township 23 North, Range 5 East Sections: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 & 20

Township 24 North, Range 4 East Sections: 24 & 25

Township 24 North, Range 5 East Sections: 19, 30 & 31

4. DOCKET NO. 2-13-02-1

Petition by BLACK WARRIOR METHANE CORP., an Alabama corporation, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order reforming the unit for the Champion/Alawest 08-06-299 Well, Permit No. 12223-C, from an 80-acre unit consisting of the South Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 8, Township 20 South, Range 8 West, Tuscaloosa County, Alabama, in the Brookwood Coal Degasification Field, to a 40-acre unit consisting of the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 8, Township 20 South, Range 8 West, Tuscaloosa County, Alabama.

5. DOCKET NO. 2-13-02-2

Petition by BLACK WARRIOR METHANE CORP., an Alabama corporation, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order reforming the unit for the Champion/Alawest 08-03-308 Well, Permit No. 12224-C, from an 80-acre unit consisting of the North Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 8, Township 20 South, Range 8 West, Tuscaloosa County, Alabama, in the Brookwood Coal Degasification Field, to a 40-acre unit consisting of the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 8, Township 20 South, Range 8 West, Tuscaloosa County, Alabama.

6. DOCKET NO. 2-13-02-3

Petition by MOON-HINES-TIGRETT OPERATING CO., INC., a foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order establishing a 320-acre production unit for the Latham-Wood 9-7 #1 Well, Permit No. 12295, said production unit to consist of the South Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 4 and the Northeast Quarter and the North Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 9, all in Township 21 South, Range 13 West, Pickens County, Alabama, in the Sneads Creek Field in accordance with Rule 3(a) of the Special Field Rules for said field, which states in part that a well drilled on a unit other than a governmental one-half section shall be approved

only as a drilling unit with the final production unit to be determined by the Board after notice and hearing.

Petitioner drilled said well on the above-described unit and has completed the well as a productive gas well.

7. DOCKET NO. 2-13-02-4

Petition by VENTEX OPERATING CORP., a foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order amending Rule 7(b) of the Special Field Rules for the North Rome Field, Covington County, Alabama, in order to establish permanent allowables for wells completed in the Lower Cotton Valley Sand Oil Pool in said field. Petitioner is requesting an allowable of 600 BOPD per well.

8. DOCKET NO. 2-13-02-5

Petition by S. LAVON EVANS, JR. OPERATING CO., INC., a foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to name a new gas field in Lamar County, Alabama, the Reedy Creek Field, or such other name as the Board deems proper, and to adopt Special Field Rules therefor. The proposed field, as underlain by the Carter Sand Gas Pool, consists of all of Section 31, Township 14 South, Range 14 West and the East Half of Section 36, Township 14 South, Range 15 West, Lamar County, Alabama. The Carter Sand Gas Pool is defined as that interval productive of hydrocarbons between 2,641 feet and 2,690 feet as indicated on the Array Induction Imager Gamma Ray Caliper Log for the Hardy 31-12 No. 1 Well, Permit No. 12118, and all zones in communication therewith and all productive extensions thereof. Petitioner is requesting well spacing of 320 contiguous surface acres, and is also requesting the establishment of allowables for said field.

This petition is filed as a companion to petition bearing Docket No. 2-13-02-6 requesting that the above-mentioned East Half of Section 36 be deleted from the field limits of the East Watson Creek Field.

9. DOCKET NO. 2-13-02-6

Petition by S. LAVON EVANS, JR. OPERATING CO., INC., a foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order amending Rule 1 of the Special Field Rules for the East Watson Creek Field, Lamar County, Alabama, to delete the East Half of Section 36, Township 14 South, Range 15 West, Lamar County, Alabama, from the field limits of said field.

39

40

41

This petition is filed as a companion to petition bearing Docket No. 2-13-02-5 requesting the establishment of the Reedy Creek Field which includes the above-referenced East Half of Section 36.

10. DOCKET NO. 2-13-02-7

Petition by MOON-HINES-TIGRETT OPERATING CO., INC., a foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to name a new gas field in Lamar County, Alabama, the Academy Church Field, or such other name as the Board deems proper, and to adopt Special Field Rules therefor. The proposed field, as underlain by three distinct, separate gas pool sands, namely the Gilmer "A,"the Chandler and the Box Sand Gas Pools, consists of all of Section 16, all of Section 17, excluding that portion that lies in Mississippi, the North Half of Section 20 and the North Half of Section 21, all in Township 15 South, Range 16 West, Lamar County, Alabama. The Gilmer "A" Sand Gas Pool is defined as that interval productive of hydrocarbons between 2,851 feet and 2,876 feet as indicated on the Array Induction Gamma Ray Log for the Ogden 16-14 No. 1 Well, Permit No. 12029, and all zones in communication therewith and all productive extensions thereof. The Chandler Sand Gas Pool is defined as that interval productive of hydrocarbons between 2,660 feet and 2,733 feet as indicated on the Dual Induction Log for the Stark 16-6 No. 1 Well, Permit No. 10299-A, and all zones in communication therewith and all productive extensions The Box Sand Gas Pool is defined as that interval productive of hydrocarbons between 2,560 feet and 2,590 feet as indicated on the Dual Induction Log for the Ogden 17-16 No. 1 Well, Permit No. 10367-A, and all zones in communication therewith and all productive extensions thereof. Petitioner is requesting well spacing of approximately 320 contiguous surface acres, and is also requesting the establishment of allowables for each separate gas pool in said field.

This petition is filed as a companion to petition bearing Docket No. 2-13-02-8 requesting reformation of the 40-acre unit for the Stark 16-6 No. 1 Well to a 320-acre unit and a petition bearing Docket No. 2-13-02-9 requesting approval of an exceptional location for the Stark 16-6 No. 1 Well.

11. DOCKET NO. 2-13-02-8

Petition by MOON-HINES-TIGRETT OPERATING CO., INC., a foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order reforming the unit for the Stark 16-6 No. 1 Well, Permit No. 10299-A, from a 40-acre wildcat unit consisting of the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 16, Township 15 South,

8 9

10

11

16

22

27 28 29

30

35

36

37

38

Range 16 West, Lamar County, Alabama to a 320-acre unit consisting of the North Half of Section 16, Township 15 South, Range 16 West, in the proposed Academy Church Field, Lamar County, Alabama.

This petition is filed as a companion to petition bearing Docket No. 2-13-02-7 requesting establishment of the Academy Church Field and a petition bearing Docket No. 2-13-02-9 requesting approval of an exceptional location for the Stark 16-6 No. 1 Well.

12. DOCKET NO. 2-13-02-9

Petition by MOON-HINES-TIGRETT OPERATING CO., INC., a foreign corporation authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order approving an exceptional location for the Stark 16-6 No. 1 Well, Permit No. 10299-A, located on a proposed reformed 320-acre unit consisting of the North Half of Section 16, Township 15 South, Range 16 West, Lamar County, Alabama, in the proposed Academy Church Field. The location of said well is 510 feet FSL and 1,650 feet FWL of the proposed reformed 320-acre unit for the referenced well. Such location is an exception to Rule 3 of the proposed Special Field Rules for the Academy Church Field which states, in part, that all wells shall be located at least 660 feet from every exterior boundary of the unit.

This petition is filed as a companion to petition bearing Docket No. 2-13-02-7 requesting establishment of the Academy Church Field and a petition bearing Docket No. 2-13-02-8 requesting reformation of the 40-acre unit for the Stark 16-6 No. 1 Well to a 320-acre unit for said well.

13. DOCKET NO. 2-13-02-10

Petition by NORTHWEST ALABAMA GAS DISTRICT, an Alabama municipal corporation, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama to enter an order approving verification of storage volume for the East Detroit Gas Storage Facility, Lamar County, Alabama, pursuant to the provisions of Section 9-17-150, et seq. Code of Alabama (1975), and Rule 400-5-5.01 of the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code. The East Detroit Gas Storage Facility was established by the Board in Order No. 2000-1 on January 5, 2000. Based on data collected from drilling the NWAGD Well No. 5, (Permit No. 12235-GS-01-03) Petitioner submits new findings verifying the storage volume and amending structure and isopach maps to include a fault discovered while drilling said well.

14. DOCKET NO. 2-13-02-11

Petition by PHILLIPS PETROLEUM COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order force pooling, with a risk compensation penalty, all tracts and interests in hydrocarbons produced from coalbeds in the Pottsville Formation in the Jernigan 22-10-146 Well, to be drilled on an 80-acre unit consisting of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter and the Northwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 22, Township 17 South, Range 9 West, Tuscaloosa County, Alabama, in the Blue Creek Coal Degasification Field.

This Petition is in accordance with Section 9-17-13, <u>Code of Alabama</u> (1975), as amended, and Rule 400-7-2-.01 of the <u>State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama</u> Administrative Code.

15. DOCKET NO. 2-13-02-12

Petition by PHILLIPS PETROLEUM COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order reforming the unit for the Jernigan 22-14-147 Well, Permit No. 11931-C, from a 40-acre unit consisting of the Southeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 22, Township 17 South, Range 9 West, Tuscaloosa County, Alabama in the Blue Creek Coal Degasification Field, to an 80-acre unit consisting of the Southeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter and the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 22.

16. DOCKET NO. 2-13-02-13

Petition by EXXONMOBIL PRODUCTION COMPANY, A Division of Exxon Mobil Corporation, acting for operator MOBIL OIL EXPLORATION & PRODUCING SOUTHEAST, INC., a foreign corporation, authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order extending the shut-in status for the following three wells: (1) State Lease 350 Well No. 1, Permit No. 3127-OS-5, located in offshore Tract 95, Lower Mobile Bay-Mary Ann Field (Norphlet) Unit, Baldwin and Mobile Counties; (2) State Lease 350 Well No. 2, Permit No. 3346-OS-8B, located in offshore Tract 95, Southeast Mobile Bay Field, Lower Mobile Bay Area, Baldwin and Mobile Counties; and (3) State Lease 347 Well No. 2, Permit No. 3614-OS-14. located in offshore Tract 76, Lower Mobile Bay-Mary Ann Field (Norphlet) Unit, Baldwin and Mobile Counties, Alabama. The said offshore tracts are shown on plat entitled "State of Alabama Chart of Submerged State Lands, Oil Lease Tracts, Within 3 Marine Miles of Shoreline," prepared by the State of Alabama, Department of Conservation, Engineering Section and dated March, 1956, revised September, 1977, Project No. 2, 5-L-2, as amended.

The previously granted shut-in status of these wells expires on February 15, 2002, and Petitioner is requesting this Board to grant a one (1) year extension of the shut-in status because these wells have future utility and should not be plugged.

17. DOCKET NO. 2-13-02-14

Petition by SHELL OFFSHORE, INC., a foreign corporation, authorized to do and doing business in the State of Alabama, requesting the State Oil and Gas Board to enter an order approving an exception to Rule 400-2-4-.09(4) of the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code pertaining to blow-out prevention equipment and the frequency of testing said equipment for the proposed State Lease 531 (Tract 113) Well #5, Permit No. 12340-OS-87-B, with a surface location 6,995 feet from the north line and 4,777 feet from the west line of Tract 113 in Mobile County, Alabama, in the Fairway Field, as shown on plats entitled "State of Alabama Chart of Submerged State Lands, Oil and Gas Lease Tracts," dated May 1984, Project No. 2, 5-L-27, revised October 31, 1990.

18. DOCKET NO. 2-13-02-15

MOTION BY THE STATE OIL AND GAS BOARD OF ALABAMA to amend Rule 400-7-2-.01 of the State Oil and Gas Board of Alabama Administrative Code related to Forced Integration or Forced Pooling to clarify language related to expiration of Forced Integration or Forced Pooling Orders.

23

The meetings of the State Oil and Gas Board are public meetings, and members of the public are invited to attend and present their position concerning this petition(s). Requests to continue or oppose a petition should be received by the Board at least two (2) days prior to the hearing. For additional information, you may contact the State Oil and Gas Board, P. O Box 869999, Tuscaloosa, Alabama 35486-6999, Telephone Number 205/349-2852, Fax Number 205/349-2861, or by email at petitions@ogb.state.al.us.

30 31

28

29

32

33

34

35

MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Metcalfe and Mr. Dampier, I have a written report of

the items heard by the Hearing Officer and the staff on Wednesday, February 13, 2002. Copies

DR. OLTZ: At this time the Hearing Officer will make his report to the Board.

1	of the report are available for the public to review and study. I recommend that the report be
2	adopted by the Board.
3	MR. METCALFE: Move.
4	MR. DAMPIER: Second.
5	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: All in favor say "aye."
6	(All Board members voted "aye")
7	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: "Ayes" have it.
8	(Whereupon, the report was received in evidence
9	MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman, I recommend the report be made a part of the record.
10	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: That request is granted.
11	DR. OLTZ: Mr. Chairman, the staff would recommend approval of the minutes of the
12	following meetings: December 6, 2001, Board Meeting; December 7, 2001, Hearing Officer
13	Meeting; January 11, 2002, Special Hearing Officer Meeting; and a January 16, 2002, Special
14	Hearing Officer Meeting.
15	MR. METCALFE: Move.
16	MR. DAMPIER: Second.
17	MR. MCCORQUODALE: All in favor say "aye."
18	(All Board members voted "aye")
19	MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman, the first item to be heard today by the Board is Item 3,
20	Docket No. 12-6-01-6, petition by Land & Natural Resource Development, Inc. requesting an
	1

1	extension of the temporary abandoned status for certain wells in the Moundville Field,
2	Tuscaloosa and Hale Counties.
3	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: Do I hear any objection to the granting of that extension?
4	I hear no objection.
5	MR. DAMPIER: Mr. Chairman, I move that we grant this petition.
6	MR. METCALFE: Second.
7	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: All in favor say "aye."
8	(All Board members voted "aye")
9	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: "Ayes" have it.
10	MR. ROGERS: The next item is Item 6, Docket No. 2-13-02-3, petition by Moon-Hines-
11	Tigrett Operating Company, Inc.
12	MR. WATSON: Mr. Chairman, I have one witness and would like to have him sworn in,
13	please, sir.
14	MR. ROGERS: Will you state your name and address?
15	MR. HINES: Todd Hines, Jackson, Mississippi.
16	(Witness was sworn by Mr. Rogers)
17	MR. WATSON: Mr. Chairman, I would ask that you receive into the record of this
18	hearing the prefiled affidavit of notice and the publication notice.
19	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: They are admitted.
20	(Whereupon, the affidavit and proof of publication
21	were received in evidence)

MR. WATSON: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Hines has appeared before the Board and has on file
an affidavit of his qualifications as a petroleum geologist. I will ask you, Mr. Hines, have you
prepared exhibits in support of Moon-Hines' request today relative to the Latham-Wood 9-7 unit
in the Sneads Creek Field in Pickens County, Alabama?

MR. HINES: Yes, I have.

MR. WATSON: I tender him as an expert for giving testimony in this matter today, Mr. Chairman.

CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: He is so recognized.

TODD HINES

Appearing as a witness on behalf of Petitioner, Moon-Hines-Tigrett Operating Company, Inc., testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Questions by Mr. Watson:

Q. Under the Board's rules and regulations Moon-Hines-Tigrett applied for a permit for the Latham-Wood 9-7 unit which is a 320-acre unit consisting of portions of Sections 9 and 4 in Pickens County, Alabama. This permit application was approved by the Supervisor subsequent to the approval of that permit application. The Latham-Wood well was drilled and we are here today under a provision in the Special Field Rules to present to you the after-drilled data to support the unit that was permitted by administrative action of the Board so that this unit can then become a production unit in the Sneads Creek

Field. I would ask, if you would, please, to look at Exhibit No. 1. Mr. Hines, would you tell the Board what's shown on that exhibit, please, sir.

- A. This is a structure map on top of the Pottsville A sand which is the producing reservoir for the Hitt 9-12 as well as the Latham-Wood 9-7. The exhibit shows the unit for the two wells. It shows the boundaries for the Pottsville A sand being on the north end of the shale out of the Pottsville A sand and on the west end of the down-to-the-west fault which was cut in the Latham-Wood 9-7 well. The east boundary or the down-dip boundary has not been established but based on the history of the well it most likely is not too far downdip from the top of the sand.
- Q. Looking at the top of the exhibit is the Justiss No.1 Pate well which has a symbol of NR that you have changed and initialed. We have that notation on several other dry holes in the area. Would you explain to the Board and for the record what those notations mean?
- A. The NR on the two wells north of that Pottsville A sand boundary, the Justiss Pate and the ARCO Elmore, were drafting errors. That should be NP. The sand is not present in those two wells. The NR on the other wells, the coalbed methane wells, the Pottsville A was not reached in those wellbores.
- Q. All right. You have made that correction on the Board's signed copy of this exhibit, have you not?
- A. Yes I have.
- Q. Now, the Latham-Wood 9-7 well encountered a Pottsville A sand. It also encountered a productive Pottsville B sand, did it not?

1	A.	Yes it did.
2	Q.	Your structure map is only on the Pottsville A. Why do you not have a structure map on
3		the Pottsville B sand?
4	A.	The Pottsville B sand was only encountered in the 9-7. There is not another well on this
5		exhibit that encountered that sand. The structure map would look identical. It would just
6		be impossible to do an isopach map of any sort.
7	Q.	Let's go then to your Exhibit 2 which is the isopach map on the Pottsville A sand.
8		Describe the information shown there, Mr. Hines.
9	A.	The parameters for this map are the density log porosity greater than or equal to seven
10		percent. There are five wells on the exhibit that had the Pottsville A sand. Each well has
11		those sand values beside it. There are four wells north of that zero boundary that are
12		noted as having zero sand.
13	Q.	The Latham-Wood, the subject of this hearing, has 88 feet of Pottsville A sand?
14	A.	That's correct.
15	Q.	Let's look at your third exhibit which is the log on the Latham-Wood 9-7. Let's describe
16		what was encountered and what's shown on this log.
17	A.	This is the array induction log for the 9-7. It shows the Pottsville A interval in that well
18		from 4,424 to 4,560 in the perforations as noted. It also shows the Pottsville B sand from
19		4,327 to 4,394 and the production perforations from that zone.
20	Q.	Now, let's look at Exhibit 4 which is a two page exhibit. Tell the Board what these two
21		zones tested, starting with the Pottsville A.

1	A.	The Pottsville A which is flowing up the tubing tested 590 Mcf/d.
2	Q.	The Pottsville B?
3	A.	The Pottsville B which is flowing up the annulus tested 160 Mcf/d.
4	Q.	All right, sir. The final exhibit, Exhibit 5, is a plat showing this 320-acre unit consisting
5		of the South Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 4 and the North Half of the
6		Southeast Quarter as well as the Northeast Quarter of Section 9, all in Township 21
7		South, Range 13 West, Pickens County. Is that correct?
8	A.	That is correct.
9	Q.	It shows the location of the Latham-Wood well on that plat. Now, let's talk for just a
10		minute, Mr. Hines, about what the Latham-Wood well is doing production wise as of
11		your most current data.
12	A.	Currently it is flowing about 150 to 175 Mcf/d with about eight to ten barrels of water.
13	Q.	Is that from the combined Pottsville A and Pottsville B sands?
14	A.	That is correct.
15	Q.	What is the Hitt 9-12 well doing?
16	A.	It's flowing approximately 600 Mcf/d with very little water, two barrels a day at the
17		most.
18	Q.	Look back at Exhibit No. 1. When we asked the Supervisor to approve this 320-acre
19		unitwe have skidded the unit to the north, if we can describe it like that, and we have
20		taken in that South Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 4 and excluded from the unit

	1	
1		the South Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 9. Do you know, Mr. Hines, who
2		owns that South Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 9?
3	A.	Yes, sir. Donald Wood, his family, and the Hitt family both own interest in that 80 acres.
4		The Wood family has 75 acres that is in the Latham-Wood 9-7 and the Hitt family has
5		over 250 acres in the Hitt 9-12 unit.
6	Q.	All right. So, even though that 80 acres is presently out of the unit, those people are
7		common to the ownership in the production unit.
8	A.	That's true.
9	Q.	That's not true is it of the South Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 4. Is that
10		ownership up there or is that ownership common to all of the above?
11	A.	No, sir.
12	Q.	Had you not slid this unit up to take that in, based on your map, that area would have
13		been possibly drained by this well without any participation of those owners. Is that
14		correct?
15	A.	That is correct.
16	Q.	Based on the current production that you have just described to the Board, does your
17		company have any plans for any offset drilling to this Latham-Wood 9-7 well?
18	A.	We plan to sand fracture the well here in the not too distant future. Based on the results
19		of that treatmentif we get a favorable result we most likely would plan to drill another
,,		well south of the Latham-Wood well.

1	Q.	All right, sir. You recall, because we have discussed the fact, that in 2001 we had a map
2		that we presented to the Board on the Sneads Creek Field. On your mapping at that time-
3		that was a structure map. That was Docket No. 8-22-01-03. I showed you that map
4		yesterday. Why has your map changed in this same area since that time, Mr. Hines?
5	A.	The production characteristics of the two wells, the Latham-Wood being over 200 feet
6		high to the Hitt well and the production being so much less with more water production,
7		indicate first of all that they have to be in a separate reservoir. We have also done some
8		shallow mapping using the coalbed methane wells. It appears from that mapping that the
9		fault has to be down to the west as opposed to the first map that we presented with it
10		down to the east.
11	Q.	Basically, additional well control data allows you and really requires you to change your
12		fault throw and your mapping in this area. Is that correct?
13	A.	That is correct.
14		MR. WATSON: Mr. Chairman, I would ask that you receive into evidence Exhibits 1
15	throug	h 5 to the testimony of Mr. Hines.
16		CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: The exhibits are admitted.
17		(Whereupon, the exhibits were received in evidence)
18	Q.	Mr. Hines, will the approval of this unit, the Latham-Wood 9-7 unit, protect correlative
19		rights, prevent waste, and orderly develop the hydrocarbons discovered in this wellbore?
20	A.	Yes it will.
21		MR. WATSON: I tender the witness.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: Are there questions from the staff or the Board?

MR. DAMPIER: I do have a couple of questions.

TODD HINES

EXAMINATION BY BOARD/STAFF

Ouestions by Mr. Dampier:

- That acreage in Section 9, that one half of that southeast quarter, is that productive, that Q. portion that you are excluding from the production unit?
- Well, without knowing where the water level is it's hard to say. Based on the production, A. with the well making some saltwater, the water level couldn't be too far downdip from the top of the sand. It appears to us there is more productive area in the South Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 4 than there would be in the South Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 9.
- The more productive area does not necessarily exclude the productive area in Section 9 Q. that is excluded. What I'm trying to avoid is that Phillips/Striker suit that you remember from a few years back. You guys could be getting in that situation if you are draining that land and don't drill a well down there timely. When you say you plan to drill a well, can you elaborate on that a little bit more?
- Well, as I stated earlier, we are going to sand frac the well here in the next couple of weeks. Based on the results of that treatment we'll make a decision at that point whether to drill an offset well.

1	Q.	Where would that well be that you do the sand fracing? Have you decided on a location
2		for it?
3		MR. WATSON: He's talking about sand fracing the current well, the 9-7 well.
4	Q.	Okay. I guess I was asking if you would have any information where the offset well
5		would be?
6	A.	It would most likely be down there in the South Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section
7		9.
8		MR. DAMPIER: Thank you.
9		MR. ROGERS: One other question. You testified that the parties that own the interest in
10	that so	outh half of the southeast quarter were notified of this petition.
11		MR. WATSON: They were notified. He also testified that they are in the Latham-Wood
12	well.	They are participating in the royalty. They are not excluded. So if there is any drainage
13	from t	hat tract, if the gas is down there, they are being paid for it, little unlike the Striker case.
14		MR. METCALFE: Move to grant the petition.
15		MR. DAMPIER: Second.
16		CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: All in favor say "aye."
17		(All Board members voted "aye")
18		CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: "Ayes" have it.
19		MR. ROGERS: The next item is Item 10, Docket No. 2-13-02-7, petition by Moon-
20	Hines	-Tigrett Operating Company, Inc. That item is related to Items 11 and 12, Item 11, Docket
21	No. 2	-13-02-8, petition by Moon-Hines-Tigrett and Item 12, Docket No. 2-13-02-9.

1	
1	MR. WATSON: Can we consolidate those for hearing purposes?
2	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: Those will be consolidated.
3	MR. WATSON: I remind my witness that he is still under oath.
4	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: Correct.
5	MR. WATSON: Accept into the record, Mr. Chairman, if you would, please, the
6	affidavit of notice as well as the publication notice for these three consolidated items.
7	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: Those are admitted.
8	(Whereupon, the affidavit and proof of
9	publication were received in evidence)
10	TODD HINES
11	Appearing as a witness on behalf of Petitioner, Moon-Hines-Tigrett Operating Company,
12	Inc., testified as follows:
13	DIRECT EXAMINATON
14	Questions by Mr. Watson:
15	Q. We are requesting in these three items, Mr. Chairman, that the Board establish a new gas
16	field. We are recommending the name Academy Church Field for this new field and that
17	you promulgate Special Field Rules for this new field that will be in Lamar County,
18	Alabama. We have three separate and distinct gas pools in this proposed field area that
19	would be covered by these Special Field Rules. Mr. Hines, you are familiar with the
20	request for the establishment of the Academy Church Field for the reformation of one of
21	the units in that field from 40 acres to 320 acres and the promulgation of field rules?
1	

1	

A. Yes.

Q. I have handed up to the Board and staff the exhibits that you have prepared. I might also say that one of the petitions we are requesting today is for an exceptional location on the unit reformation. In that vein, let's look at Exhibit No. 1. Tell the Board what's shown on this Exhibit 1, Mr. Hines.

- A. This is a unit plat for the Stark 16-6 where we are reforming the unit from a 40-acre unit consisting of the southeast-northwest of 16 to a 320-acre unit consisting of the North Half of Section 16. You will note that the location is 510 feet from the South line of the unit which would be an exception to the Special Field Rules. It should be 660 feet.
- Q. As permitted on a 40-acre wildcat, that was a legal location. It becomes an exception if the Board reforms it. Is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Now let's look at your Exhibit 1A which is a structure map, Mr. Hines. Let's describe the information that is shown there to the Board, please.
- A. This is a structure map on top of the Millerella limestone. Shown are the proposed field limits for Academy Church Field. Also shown is the 320-acre unit for the three producing wells in the field. Each well is color coded according to the producing reservoir. The Odgen 17-16 is completed in the Box sand, the Ogden 16-14 is completed in the Gilmer A sand, and the Stark 16-6 is completed in the Chandler sand.
- Q. All right, sir. Let's go to your next exhibit, Exhibit 2, which is a cross section that you are showing on Exhibit 1A. Describe that cross section to the Board.

1	A.
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Q.
13	
14	
15	A.
16	Q.

18

20

21

This is stratigraphic cross section A-A' which is noted on the structure map. These are all dual induction logs from the three producing wells. The first one on the left-hand side is the type log for the Box sand, the Ogden 17-16. The Box sand is described in an interval from 2,560 to 2,590 on that dual induction log. The perforations are noted 2,576 to 80. The middle log on the section is the Ogden 16-14 which is the type log for the Gilmer A sand which is described as the interval from 2,851 to 2,876. The perforations are noted from 2,851-1/2 to 56-1/2. The next well on the far right hand side is the Stark 16-6 which is the type log for the Chandler sand. It is the interval from 2,660 to 2,733 on that log. The perforations are noted from 2,662 to 66. What is also evident on this cross section is the shale out of these three sands. They are not continuous between the three wells. That is part of the trapping mechanism for the field.

- Q. Having identified three distinct gas pools in this proposed Academy Church Field, let's tell the Board how these wells tested starting with Exhibit No. 3 which is a test on the Gilmer sand.
- A. Okay. The Gilmer A sand in the Ogden 16-14 tested 1,000 Mcf/d.
- Q. Exhibit 4 is the test on the Chandler sand.
- A. The Chandler sand in the Stark 16-6 well tested 181 Mcf/d.
- Q. The Box sand gas pool.
- 19 A. The Box sand in the Ogden 17-16 tested 1,371 Mcf/d.
 - Q. All right, sir. Is it your testimony that the formation of this new field for the three separate and distinct gas pools that you have defined and described in your exhibits will

11	
	County?
A.	Yes, it is.
Q.	Will the reformation of the 40-acre unit for the Stark 16-6 well to a 320-acre unit in the
	field under the field rules and the granting of the exceptional location for that well protect
	correlative rights and promote orderly development?
A.	Yes it will.
	MR. WATSON: I tender the witness to you, Mr. Chairman, and ask that you receive into
the rec	ord of this hearing Exhibits 1 through 5 to the testimony of Mr. Hines.
	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: The exhibits are admitted.
	(Whereupon, the exhibits were received in evidence)
	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: Are there any questions from the staff on any of these?
Does t	hat conclude the evidence on all three of the items, Mr. Watson?
	MR. WATSON: That's all three.
	MR. DAMPIER: Mr. Chairman, I move that the petitions be granted.
	MR. METCALFE: Second.
	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: All in favor say "aye."
	(All Board members voted "aye")
	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: "Ayes" have it.
	MR. ROGERS: The next petition is Item 14, Docket No 2-13-02-11, petition by Phillips
Petrole	eum Company.
	Q. A. The recommendation of the control of the co

1	MR. WATSON: While my witness is coming up, Mr. Chairman, I ask that you receive
2	into the record of this hearing the affidavit of notice and the publication notice.
3	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: They are admitted.
4	(Whereupon, the affidavit and proof of
5	publication were received in evidence)
6	MR. WATSON: I have one witness and would like to have him sworn in, please, sir.
7	MR. ROGERS: Will you state your name and address?
8	MR. HUTCHINGS: Steve Hutchings, Tuscaloosa, Alabama.
9	(Witness was sworn by Mr. Rogers)
10	MR. WATSON: Mr. Hutchings, you have appeared before the Board and have on file an
11	affidavit of your qualifications as a petroleum landman. Is that correct?
12	MR. HUTCHINGS: Yes, sir.
13	STEVE HUTCHINGS
14	Appearing as a witness on behalf of Petitioner, Phillips Petroleum Company, testified as
15	follows:
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	Questions by Mr. Watson:
18	Q. Are you familiar with the petition here today for the force pooling, with the imposition of
19	a risk compensation fee, of tracts and interests in an 80-acre unit in the Blue Creek Field
20	for the Jernigan 22-10-146 well?
21	A. Yes sir.
ł	1

1	Q.	Since we're asking the Board to force pool this with risk comp., does your company,
2		together with other consenting owners, own a majority of the interest of the drilling and
3		operating rights in this unitthis 80-acre unit which consist of the Northeast Quarter of
4		the Southwest Quarter and the Northwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 22,
5		Township 17 South, Range 9 West, Tuscaloosa County, Alabama, in the Blue Creek Coal
6		Degasification Field?
7	A.	Yes sir.
8	Q.	Have you made a good faith effort to negotiate with each nonconsenting owner to have
9		that owner's interest voluntarily pooled into the unit?
10	A.	Yes sir.
11	Q.	Who has not agreed with your proposal to date?
12	A.	The only interest that is open to date is a Ms. Edna Jones that lives here in Tuscaloosa.
13	Q.	What interest does Ms. Jones own in this 80-acre unit?
14	A.	She owns approximately 8.3 percent.
15	Q.	Have you notified Ms. Jones, giving her notice of the fact that you wanted her to join in
16		the drilling of this well?
17	A.	Yes sir.
18	Q.	We have sent that notice by certified mail and have a return receipt from Ms. Jones. Is
19		that correct?
20	A.	That's correct, yes sir.

MR. WATSON: Mr. Chairman, I have prefiled that green card and would ask that that be made a part of the record of this hearing.

CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: That request is granted.

(Whereupon, the letter with attached green card was received in evidence)

- Q. Did you give Ms. Jones written notice of the proposed operation, specifying the work to be performed, the location, the depth, the objective formation, and the estimated cost?
- A. Yes sir, that was all included in our letter.
- Q. Have you offered each nonconsenting owner an opportunity to lease or farm out on reasonable terms or to participate in the cost and the risk of developing and operating the unit well on reasonable terms?
- A. Yes sir, again, that was included in the letter.
- Q. Did you notify Ms. Jones that if she did not pay her proportionate share of the drilling and completion cost within 30 days after commencement of actual drilling operations or prior to reaching total depth, whichever is earlier, then there shall be a charge to the tract or interest a risk compensation fee equal to 150 percent of such tract or interest share in the actual and reasonable cost of drilling, reworking, testing, plugging back, deepening, or completing the well?
- A. Yes sir.

1	Q.	Did you advise Ms. Jones that if on or before the commencement of actual drifting
2		operations she provided you with a notarized statement agreeing to pay such costs, then
3		she would not be penalized?
4	A.	Yes sir.
5	Q.	You have had personal contact with Ms. Jones in several units, have you not?
6	A.	That's correct, yes sir.
7	Q.	State for the Board, if you would, please, what communications you have had with her
8		other than those written ones that we are asking the Board to include in this record today.
9	A.	I've met with her, Ms. Jones, several times. I met with her son-in-law and daughter for
10		about three hours one evening last year. We just never couldnever could make any
11		deal. She has never leased this interest for coal mining purposes or oil and gas purposes
12		at any time over the last 40 years.
13	Q.	Do you recall how many units we have Ms. Jones in and how many times we have force
14		pooled her with imposition of risk comp?
15	A.	She is under one other producing unit. This will be the second unit we've had to force
16		pool. I think this is our third time because of some surface use questions.
17	Q.	All right. Is it your testimony to this Board then that she is fully aware of the request and
18		fully understands what is going on here?
19	A.	Yes sir.
20	Q.	In addition to advising her relatives of this?

1	A.	Yes sir. In fact, we have added a phrase at the end of our letter that we advise her to seek
2		legal counsel if she has any questions relative to the force pooling issue.
3	Q.	Would the granting of this force pooling with the risk compensation fee that you have
4		requested promote orderly development in the Blue Creek Field and protect correlative
5		rights?
6	A.	Yes sir.
7		MR. WATSON: Mr. Chairman, I'm assuming that when you made the green card a part
8	of the	record you also made a part of the record the January 17 th certified letter to Ms. Jones.
9		CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: That's correct.
10		MR. WATSON: That's all we have on this item. I submit my witness for any questions
11	you ha	ve.
12		CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: Are there questions?
13		MR. METCALFE: Mr. Chairman, I move that we grant the petition.
14		CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: Second. All in favor say "aye."
15		(Board members McCorquodale and Metcalfe voted "aye")
16		CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: "Ayes" have it. Let the record reflect that Mr. Dampier
17	recuse	d himself from voting on that item.
18		MR. ROGERS: Item 16, Docket No. 2-13-02-13, petition by ExxonMobil Production
19	Compa	any.

1	MR. WATSON: Mr. Chairman, I have prefiled an affidavit of notice in this matter along
2	with the publication notices and would ask that all those be made a part of the record of this
3	hearing.
4	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: That request is granted.
5	(Whereupon, the affidavit and proofs of
6	publication were received in evidence)
7	MR. WATSON: I have prefiled an affidavit of testimony of Gretchen Dilday who is a
8	qualified engineer, having on file with this Board an affidavit of her qualifications. This petition
9	is requesting the extension of the shut-in status of three wells located in Baldwin and Mobile
10	Counties, Alabama. I would ask that you approve the request based on the testimony contained
11	in the affidavit.
12	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: Are there questions?
13	MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman, I would like to admit into the record a letter from Mr.
14	Wilson to Mr. Riley Smith, Commissioner of the Department of Conservation and Natural
15	Resources, notifying the department of this petition.
16	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: That is made a part of the record and the affidavit of
17	Gretchen Dilday is also admitted into the record.
18	(Whereupon, the affidavit and letter were received in evidence)
19	MR. METCALFE: I move that we grant the petition.
20	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: Second. All in favor say "aye."
21	(Board members McCorquodale and Metcalfe voted "aye")

1	

CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: Again, let the record reflect that Mr. Dampier recused himself on this item.

MR. ROGERS: Item 17, Docket No. 2-13-01-14, petition by Shell Offshore, Inc.

MR. CLUTE: Good morning Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, I'm here representing Shell Offshore, Inc. This petition has been filed with the Board seeking an exception to Rule 400-2-4-.09(4). Shell Offshore, Inc. is requesting approval from the Board to test BOP equipment every fourteen days instead of every seven days at the location specified in the petition which is State Lease 531 No. 5 Well, Fairway Gas Field. Mr. Chairman, we have already prefiled with the Board an affidavit of publication and would ask that it be admitted into the record.

CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: It is admitted.

(Whereupon, the proof of publication was received in evidence)

MR. CLUTE: I have with me, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Tony R. Harris as a witness. I would like to refer the Board to the affidavit of qualification of Mr. Harris. Mr. Harris is a graduate of The University of Illinois, having a Bachelor of Science Degree in Chemical Engineering. He has been employed by Shell for the past 29 years in the following positions: Production Engineer, Production Superintendent, Drilling Engineer, and other experience that is outlined in his affidavit. I would like to have him recognized as an expert witness by the Board.

CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: He is so recognized.

MR. CLUTE: Mr. Chairman, could I have Mr. Harris sworn in?

MR. ROGERS: Will you stand and state your name and address?

i	1	
1		MR. HARRIS: Tony R. Harris. I live in Kennev, Louisiana.
2		(Witness was sworn by Mr. Rogers)
3		TONY R. HARRIS
4		Appearing as a witness on behalf of Petitioner, Shell Offshore, Inc., testified as follows:
5		DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	Questi	ons by Mr. Clute:
7	Q.	Mr. Harris, are you familiar with the petition which has been filed by Shell with the Oil
8		and Gas Board seeking an exception to Rule 400-2-409(4) of the State Oil and Gas
9		Board of Alabama Administrative Code?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	Did you execute an affidavit in support of Shell's petition?
12	A.	Yes.
13		MR. CLUTE: Mr. Chairman, that affidavit is Exhibit No. 1 in the exhibits before you. I
14	would	ask that that affidavit also be admitted into evidence.
15		CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: It is admitted.
16		(Whereupon, the affidavit was received in evidence)
17	Q.	Mr. Harris, what is your understanding of what Shell is requesting from the Board?
18	A.	Shell is requesting the Board to extend the interval for testing BOP's, blowout preventers
19		and related auxiliary well control equipment. Specifically, Shell is requesting the Board
20		that Shell be authorized in the drilling of the Alabama State Lease 531 No. 5 Well prior
21		to entering the Smackover and Norphlet formation above a depth of 21,850 measured

Q.

depth and approximately 20,315 true vertical depth to perform pressure tests on annulartype and ram-type preventers and related well control equipment and on auxiliary well
control equipment such as the choke manifold valves, Top Drive safety valves, kelly
cocks, the drill string safety valves, and inside blowout preventers at least once every two
weeks, but not to exceed fourteen days between tests, said tests to be performed
alternating between control stations, provided Shell functionally test these types of blow
out preventers and related auxiliary well control equipment every seven days. Shell is
further requesting that the authorized period of fourteen days between pressure tests on
this equipment and the seven day period between functional tests on this equipment be
further extended when well operations prevent testing, provided the tests will be
conducted as soon as possible before normal operations resume and the reason for
postponing testing is entered in the driller's log.

- Mr. Harris, in other words, Shell is requesting approval from the Board to test BOP equipment every fourteen days instead of the required seven day intervals by the rule. Is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Is it true that this practice of fourteen day intervals to test the BOP equipment would be normal if the well was located in Federal waters?
- A. Yes it is.
- Q. Attached to your affidavit are several exhibits. Is it true that Exhibits 2, 3, and 4 are drawings of the proposed blowout preventer system?

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	Have you examined these Exhibits 2, 3 and 4?
3	A.	Yes I have.
4	Q.	Would you describe to the Board what Exhibit 5 is?
5	A.	Exhibit 5 is the proposed blowout preventer test pressure data which was previously
6		produced to the Board when Shell petitioned for the drilling permit. The only change
7		which was made to the data was the bottom-hole location which Shell recently requested
8		that the Board allow us to change.
9	Q.	Is it your belief that in drilling the Well No. 5 that Shell will not encounter any
10		hydrocarbon bearing formation prior to the Smackover formation?
11	A.	To the best of my knowledge I do not believe that we will encounter any hydrocarbon
12		bearing formations prior to the Smackover.
13	Q.	Is Shell prepared to handle any unexpected kicks and abnormal pressures during the
14		drilling of Well No. 5?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	Is it your opinion that the granting of this petition will prevent "waste" and protect the
17		correlative rights of all interested parties?
18	A.	Yes.
19		MR. CLUTE: Mr. Chairman, we would ask that Exhibits 2, 3, 4 and 5 be admitted into
20	the rec	ord and made a part of the record.
21		CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: They are admitted.

1	(Whereupon, the exhibits were received in evidence)
2	MR. CLUTE: Mr. Chairman, I tender the witness.
3	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: Are there questions by the staff or by the Board?
4	MR. DAMPIER: Mr. Chairman, I move that we grant the petition.
5	MR. METCALFE: Second.
6	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: All in favor say "aye."
7	(All Board members voted "aye")
8	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: "Ayes" have it. Thank you.
9	MR. CLUTE: Thank you Mr. Chairman, members of the Board and staff.
10	MR. ROGERS: The next item then would be the Motion by the Board, Item 18, Docket
11	No. 2-13-01-15. That's a motion by the Board to clarify certain language related to forced
12	integration or forced pooling orders. The approval of the amendment would clarify the duration
13	of the orders. This rule was approved by the Board on December 7, 2001, in Order No. 2001-
14	189 and has been published in accordance with the Alabama Administrative Procedure Act. Mr
15	Chairman, we would recommend that a copy of the proposed rule be admitted into the record.
16	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: It is admitted.
17	(Whereupon, a copy of the rule was received in evidence)
18	MR. ROGERS: We would also recommend that copies of the publication notices in the
19	three statewide papers be admitted into the record.
20	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: Those are admitted.

1	(Whereupon, the proofs of publication were
2	received in evidence)
3	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: Are there any comments about Item 18 and the proposed
4	rule?
5	MR. DAMPIER: Mr. Chairman, I move that the proposed rule is adopted.
6	MR. METCALFE: Second.
7	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: All in favor say "aye."
8	(All Board members voted "aye")
9	CHMN. MCCORQUODALE: "Ayes" have it. That concludes the regular agenda of the
10	Board.
11	(Whereupon, the hearing was recessed at 10:50 a.m.)
1	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

 STATE OF ALABAMA COUNTY OF TUSCALOOSA

I, Rickey Estes, Hearing Reporter in and for the State of Alabama, do hereby certify that on Friday, February 15, 2002, in the Board Room of the State Oil and Gas Board Building, University of Alabama Campus, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, I reported the proceedings before the State Oil and Gas Board in Regular Session; that the foregoing 37 typewritten pages contain a true and accurate verbatim transcription of said proceedings to the best of my ability, skill, knowledge, and belief.

I further certify that I am neither kin or counsel to the parties to said cause, nor in any manner interested in the results thereof.

Rickey Estes
Hearing Reporter